



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Planning Division

m e m o r a n d u m

TO: Mayor Diane Wolfe Marlin and City Council Members

FROM: Lorrie Pearson, AICP, Community Development Services Director
Lily Wilcock, Planner I

DATE: October 1, 2020

SUBJECT: **An Ordinance Amending the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (Omnibus Text Amendment II – Plan Case 2377-T-19)**
An Ordinance Amending the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (Omnibus Text Amendment III – Plan Case 2384-T-19)

Supplemental Memorandum

On August 5, 2019, the City Council considered two omnibus text amendments, requested by the Zoning Administrator, to make numerous changes to the Urbana Zoning Ordinance. This is a supplemental memorandum to address questions related to the proposed text amendments that were raised at that meeting.

Discussion

The proposed text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance would correct references, make clarifications, and amend sections regarding multiple principal structures, access drive parking locations, and electronic signs for institutional uses in the B-4 district. This memorandum addresses both multipart text amendments heard at the August 5, 2019, City Council meeting.

The proposed changes would reduce inconsistencies and update regulations to meet current professional practices, which will make daily administration of the Zoning Ordinance easier. Because each of these changes would not warrant a separate text amendment on its own, they were combined into two “omnibus” text amendments.

On August 5, 2019, the Council discussed several of the proposed changes at length and requested that staff return at a later date with more information about those specific changes. Each of those changes are discussed below, in detail.

Select Proposed Text Changes from Omnibus Text Amendment II – Plan Case 2377-T-19

Changes Related to “Owner”, General

References to “owner” are made throughout the Zoning Ordinance. To reduce confusion, the proposed changes would add a general definition of “owner” to Article II – Definitions, and remove

multiple definitions of “owner” from Article XII – Historic Preservation.

Throughout the Zoning Ordinance, there are references to different types of owners, e.g. “building owner,” “land owner,” “the land owner that owns the building,” etc. Adding a definition for “owner” to Article II will make these references more clear.

In addition, terms that had been used interchangeably with respect to property ownership are proposed to be changed as follows, for clarity and consistency:

- “*Parcel owner*,” changed to “owner”;
- “*Parcel*,” changed to “property”;
- “*Owner of record*,” changed to “owner”;
- “*Affected parcel owners*,” changed to “owners,” and defines who the affected owners are.

Add Definition of “Owner” to Article II

The Zoning Ordinance currently does not define “owner,” except in Article XII – Historic Preservation. This means that use of the word “owner” outside of Article XII is undefined and is therefore unclear. Adding a definition of “owner” to Article II – Definitions, will provide a common definition of “owner” to be used throughout the Zoning Ordinance.

The proposed definition would describe an “owner” as “*a person having a legal or equitable interest in personal property or real property*,” and refers to the City Code for specific definitions of “person,” “personal property,” and “real property.”

Remove Definitions of “Owner(s) of Record” and “Parcel Owner” from Article XII

There are currently two definitions for “owner” in Article XII, which is both confusing and redundant. With the addition of an “owner” definition to Article II, there will be no need for separate definitions in Article XII, so the Article XII definitions of “owner” will be removed.

Article XII has the following definitions for “owner”: “*Owner(s) of Record*” and “*Parcel Owner*.”

Owner(s) of Record refers to anyone with a recorded and current interest to the property.

Parcel Owner is defined as an owner of record.

Neither definition in Article XII will be necessary if Article II is amended to include a definition of “owner.”

Allowing Multiple Principle Structures on One Lot - WITHDRAWN

Amend rules to allow a second principal structure on one lot, with certain restrictions.

Currently, in some districts, multiple principal structures on a single lot are only allowed with a conditional use permit, even when the uses within each structure are allowed by-right. In a business district, for example, placing two otherwise-allowable businesses in multiple buildings currently requires a conditional use permit.

Though this is an issue in the Zoning Ordinance today, a larger conversation about allowing multiple principal structures (i.e. homes) on residential lots is likely needed. This portion of Omnibus II has been withdrawn.

Select Proposed Text Changes from Omnibus Text Amendment III – Plan Case 2384-T-19

Powers and Duties of Plan Commission - WITHDRAWN

Amend Section XI-2. Plan Commission and Section XI.3. Zoning Board of Appeals to provide consistency with other planning and zoning boards with respect to the convening of meetings and to clarify quorum.

At the August 5th City Council meeting, the proposed changes included removing Section XI-2.C – Powers and Duties of the Plan Commission from the Zoning Ordinance and referring to City Code, which already outlines the Plan Commission’s powers and duties. This proposal has been removed from the proposed text amendment, as there was concern that in doing so, some powers and duties of the Plan Commission may be unintentionally removed.

Proposed Changes

The attached Zoning Ordinance Changes (see Exhibit A and Exhibit B) outlines all of the proposed changes using a strikethrough and underline notation system. A ~~strikethrough~~ is used to indicate ~~deleted language~~, while an underline is used to indicate added language.

The following summarizes the proposed changes, organized by Zoning Ordinance article followed by a brief explanation. Staff suggests a number of grammatical corrections and organizational changes as well to improve clarity.

Summary of Findings

1. The proposed amendment will assist with daily administration and enforcement of the Zoning Ordinance by improving clarity and updating language to meet current professional practices.
2. The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals and objectives of the 2005 Urbana Comprehensive Plan regarding updating various sections of the Zoning Ordinance.
3. The proposed amendment will update the Zoning Ordinance to ensure that the regulatory environment more closely matches the goals and policies of the City.
4. The proposed amendment conforms to notification and other requirements for the Zoning Ordinances as required by the State Zoning Act (65 ILCS 5/11-13-14).

Options

The City Council has the following options in Ordinance 2019-08-040 (Omnibus II):

1. Approve the ordinance as presented;
2. Approve the ordinance as modified by specific suggested changes; or
3. Deny the ordinance.

The City Council has the following options in Ordinance 2019-08-041 (Omnibus III):

1. Approve the ordinance as presented;

2. Approve the ordinance as modified by specific suggested changes; or
3. Deny the ordinance.

Recommendation

At its July 18, 2019, meeting, the Plan Commission voted seven ayes to zero nays to forward to City Council a recommendation to **APPROVE** the proposed text amendments to revise multiple parts of the Zoning Ordinance.

Staff concurs with this recommendation.

Attachments: A: Blackline Changes for Omnibus Text Amendment II
B: Blackline Changes for Omnibus Text Amendment III
C: Plan Commission Minutes: 6/6/19 and 7/18/19
D: City Council Minutes: 8/5/19
E: Correspondence
F: [Link](#) to August 1, 2019, Memo to Council for Omnibus Text Amendment II
G: [Link](#) to August 1, 2019, Memo to Council for Omnibus Text Amendment III

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE URBANA ZONING ORDINANCE

(Omnibus Text Amendment II – Plan Case No. 2377-T-19)

WHEREAS, the City Council passed Ordinance No. 9293-124 on June 21, 1993, which adopted the 1993 Comprehensive Amendment to replace the 1979 Comprehensive Amendment to the 1950 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Urbana (“City”), which is also known as the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (“Zoning Ordinance”); and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance from time to time is amended to assist in the daily administration of the Zoning Ordinance, reduce inconsistencies, and update regulations to meet current professional standards; and

WHEREAS, the set of proposed changes have been identified since the last update but, on their own, not warrant separate amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Administrator has submitted a petition to amend the Zoning Ordinance, which includes numerous miscellaneous editorial changes as part of a recodification and republishing of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, said petition was presented to the Plan Commission as Plan Case No. 2377-T-19; and

WHEREAS, after due publication in accordance with Section XI-7 of the Zoning Ordinance and Section 11-13-14 of the Illinois Municipal Code (65 ILCS 5/11-13-14), the Plan Commission continued the public hearing on May 23, 2019 and held a public hearing on the petition on June 6, 2019 and July 18, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission voted seven ayes to zero nays on July 18, 2019, to forward Plan Case No. 2377-T-19 to the City Council with a recommendation for approval of the proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, the amendments described herein conform to the goals, objectives and policies of the 2005 Comprehensive Plan as amended from time to time; and

WHEREAS, after due and proper consideration, the City Council finds that amending the Zoning Ordinance as herein provided is in best interests of the residents of the City and is desirable for the welfare of the City's government and affairs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF URBANA, ILLINOIS, as follows:

Section 1.

The following provisions of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance are hereby amended and as amended shall read as set forth in Ordinance Attachment A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference:

- A. Article II, "Definitions," Section II-3, "Definitions."
- B. Article V, "Use Regulations," Section V-2, "Principal and Accessory Uses," Section V-3, "Table of Permitted Uses, by District," Section V-5, "Additional Use Regulations in the R-6B District," Section V-10, "Additional Regulations in the MIC District."
- C. Article VI, "Development Regulations," Section VI-3. "Lot Area and Width," Table VI-3, "Development Regulations by District."
- D. Article VII, "Standards and Procedures for Conditional and Special Uses," Section VII-2, "Conditional Use Procedures," Section VII-4, "Special Use Procedures," Table VII-1, "Standards for Specific Conditional Uses."

- E. Article VIII, “Parking and Access,” Section VIII-3, “Design and Specifications of Off-Street Parking,” Section VIII-4, “Location of Parking Facilities,” Section VIII-7, “Bicycle Parking,” Figure VIII-8, “Bicycle Parking Layout Examples and Requirements,” Figure VIII-9, “Standards for Circular Drives.”
- F. Article IX, “Sign and OASS Regulations,” Section IX-2, “Sign and OASS Definitions,” Section IX-3, “Measurement Standards,” Section IX-4, “General Sign Allowances,” and the creation of Table IX-10, “Standards for Temporary Signs.”
- G. Article X, “Nonconformities,” Section X-8, “Reconstruction of Nonconformities.”
- H. Article XI, “Administration, Enforcement, Amendments and Fees,” Section XI-1, “Zoning Administrator,” Section XI-3, “Zoning Board of Appeals,” Section XI-7, “Amendments,” Section XI-11, “Protest Procedures.”
- I. Article XII, “Historic Preservation,” Section XII-2, “Definitions,” Section XII-3, “Historic Preservation Commission,” Section XII-4, “Historic Districts,” Section XII-5, “Historic Landmarks,” Section XII-6, “District and Landmark Designation Protests,” Section XII-7, “Certificates of Appropriateness & Economic Hardship,” Section XII-8, “Affirmation of Existing Zoning,” Section XII-9, “Building Permits Previously Issued,” Section XII-10, “Penalties,” Section XII-11, “National Register of Historic Places.”
- J. Article XIII, “Special Development Provisions,” Section XII-3, “Planned Unit Developments.”

Section 2.

This Ordinance shall not be construed to affect any suit or proceeding pending in any court, or any rights acquired, or a liability incurred, or any cause or causes of action acquired or existing prior to the effective date of this Ordinance; nor shall any right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired, or affected by this Ordinance.

Section 3.

The City Clerk is directed to publish this Ordinance in pamphlet form by authority of the corporate authorities, and this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication in accordance with Section 1-2-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code.

This Ordinance is hereby passed by the affirmative vote, the “ayes” and “nays” being called, of a majority of the members of the Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, at a meeting of said Council.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL this ___ day of _____, 2020.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSTAINED:

Phyllis D. Clark, City Clerk

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR this ___ day of _____, 2020.

Diane Wolfe Marlin, Mayor

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION IN PAMPHLET FORM

I, Phyllis D. Clark, certify that I am the appointed and acting Municipal Clerk of the City of Urbana, Champaign County, Illinois. I certify that on the _____ day of _____, 2020, the corporate authorities of the City of Urbana passed and approved Ordinance No. _____, entitled “An Ordinance Approving a Text Amendment (Omnibus Text Amendment / Plan Case 2377-T-19)” which provided by its terms that it should be published in pamphlet form. The pamphlet form of Ordinance No. _____ was prepared, and a copy of such Ordinance was posted in the Urbana City Building commencing on the _____ day of _____, 2020, and continuing for at least ten (10) days thereafter. Copies of such Ordinance were also available for public inspection upon request at the Office of the City Clerk.

DATED at Urbana, Illinois, this _____ day of _____, 2020.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

...
ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS95

Section VIII-1. Applicability 95
 Section VIII-2. Special Use Permit Requirements for Off-Site Accessory Parking 95
 Section VIII-3. Design and Specifications of Off-Street Parking 96
 Table VIII-1. Handicapped Parking 97
 Table VIII-2. Parking Lot and Parking Space Standards 98
 Table VIII-3. Widths for Access Drives 99
 Table VIII-4. Shrub Species 100
 Table VIII-5. Shade Tree Species 100
 Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities 101
 Section VIII-5. Amount of Parking Required 104
 Section VIII-6. Off-Street Loading Regulations 106
 Section VIII-7. Bicycle Parking 107
 Table VIII-6. Bicycle Parking Requirements by Use¹ 109
 Table VIII-7. Parking Requirements by Use 110
 Figure VIII-1. Parking Modules with Flexible Aisle Widths 112
 Figure VIII-2. Typical Turnaround Designs for 90° Parking Access Drive 113
 Figure VIII-3. Requirements for Access Drive 114
 Figure VIII-5. Requirements for Shade Tree 115
 Figure VIII-6. Surface Drainage 116
 Figure VIII-7. Bicycle Parking Rack Types 117
 Figure VIII-8. Bicycle Parking Layout Examples and Requirements pg. no. TBD
 Figure VIII-9. Standards for Circular Drives 118

...
ARTICLE XII. HISTORIC PRESERVATION181

...
 Section XII-6. District and Landmark Designation Protests 192
 Section XII-7. Certificates of Appropriateness & Economic Hardship 193
 Table XII-1: Level Of Review for Contributing Properties 199
 Table XII-2: Level Of Review for Non-Contributing Properties 201
 Section XII-8. Affirmation of Existing Zoning 203
 Section XII-9. Building Permits Previously Issued 203
 Section XII-10. Penalties 203
 Section XII-11. National Register of Historic Places 203

ARTICLE II. DEFINITIONS

...

Section II-3. Definitions

...

Carport: A structure, with one or more open sides-designed to shelter vehicles.

...

Garage, Private: A detached accessory building, or a portion of a principal building, designed to house vehicles on the lot on which the garage is located, or other specified dwelling. The term shall also include carports.

...

Owner: A person having a legal or equitable interest in personal property or real property. The terms "person," "personal property," and "real property" have the meanings set forth in Urbana City Code Section 1-3, as amended.

...

Variance, Major: A deviation from the regulations or standards of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance, other than a minor variance, that does not vary the use regulations. (Ord. No. 8990-65, § 2, 1-16-90)

Variance, Minor: A deviation from the regulations or standards of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance that the Zoning Board of Appeals may grant for specific and selected purposes. (Ord. No. 8990-65, § 2, 1-16-90)

...

ARTICLE V. USE REGULATIONS

...

Section V-2. Principal and Accessory Uses and Structures

...

Section V-5. Additional Use Regulations in the R-6B District

...

- B. The requirements of Section V-2.C shall apply in the R-6B District except that the restriction requiring a conditional use permit for more than one principal use per building shall not apply.

...

Section V-10. Additional Regulations in the MIC District

...

All development regulations of the B-4E zoning district shall apply during the review of a development proposal for a building permit in the MIC District with the following exceptions or additions:

- A. The following uses are permitted by right in this special zoning district: medical related uses, drugstore, day care facility, hospital or clinic, ambulance service, medical carrier service, home for the aged, nursing home, fitness center; guest house for patient families/support members, and health care-related business or professional medical office building and methadone treatment facility. (Ord. No. 2001-10-117, 1792-T-01) (No. 2002-08-083, 1824-T-02)
- B. The following uses are permitted by right in this special zoning district if constructed within the same structure as a health care-related business: professional office, institution of an educational or charitable nature; telegraph office; university or college; barber shop; beauty shop; fitness center; dry cleaning or laundry establishment; laundry and/or dry cleaning pick-up; self-service laundry; mortuary; bank or savings and loan association; vocational, trade or business school; restaurant; café; photographic studio and equipment sales and service; fast-food restaurant; dairy store; confectionery store; stationery-gift shop-art supplies; florist; bookstore. The health care-related or professional medical office use must be the principal use and exceed the following percentages of the structure's net floor area as outlined below or the property must be rezoned to MIC to allow any of these uses as a stand-alone use per Section XI-7 of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance:

...

ARTICLE VI. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

...

Section VI-3. Lot Area and Width

...

- D. In the MOR District, the maximum area of a zoning lot shall be 8,500 square feet for the purpose of calculating the floor area ratio. The objective of this Section is to keep new structures compatible with the scale and density of existing development in the MOR District by preventing the use of one large parcel for the purpose of erecting a single large structure. In the case of zoning lots which contain between 8,500 and 17,000 square feet, the amount of square feet in excess of 8,500 square feet may be used for parking, landscaping, open space or other uses in accordance with the site plan review procedure in Section XI-12. In the case of zoning lots that exceed 17,000 square feet, the lot may contain two or more principal structures based on a ratio of one structure for each 8,500 square feet of area in the lot in accordance with this Section. However, in order to establish two principal structures on one lot, a conditional use permit must be approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals in accord with the requirements of Section V-3.C and Section VII-2. (Ord. No. 8283-52, § 1, 3-7-83; Ord. No. 8687-15, § 1,2, 8-4-86; Ord. No. 8990-65, § 5, 1-16-90; Ord. No. 9091-59, § 9, 11-19-90)

...

Ordinance Attachment A

TABLE VI-3. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS BY DISTRICT

Zoning District	Minimum Lot Size (In square feet)	Minimum Lot Width	Maximum Height of Principal Structure	Maximum Floor Area Ratio	Minimum Open Space Ratio	Required Yards (In Feet) ¹		
						Front	Side	Rear
AG	1 acre ²	150	35 ³	0.25	0.55	25	15	25
B-1	6,000	60	35 ³	0.30	none	15	7 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-2	6,000	60	35 ³	1.50 ⁴	0.15	15	7 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-3	6,000	60	none ³	4.00	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-3U	6,000	60	none	4.00	0.10	15	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
B-4	2,000	20	none ³	9.00	none	none	None ¹⁸	None ¹⁸
B-4E	4,000	40	none	6.00	none	6	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
CCD	6,000	60	none	4.0	0.10 ⁵	6	5	5
CRE	1 acre	150	35 ³	0.40	0.55	25	15	25
IN-1	6,000	60	none	2.00	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
IN-2	10,000	90	none	1.00	none	25	None ¹⁸	None ¹⁸
MIC ⁶	4,000	40	none	9.00 ⁷	none	6	5	5
MOR	6,000	60	35 ³	0.70 ⁸	0.30 ⁸	15 ⁹	7 (17) ¹⁰	10
R-1	9000 ¹¹	80	35	0.30 ¹¹	0.50 ¹¹	25 ⁹	5 (15) ¹²	10
R-2	6,000 ¹³	60 ¹³	35 ¹⁷	0.40	0.40	15 ⁹	5	10
R-3	6,000 ¹³	60 ¹³	35 ¹⁷	0.40	0.40	15 ⁹	5	10
R-4	6,000	60	35 ¹⁷	0.50 ¹⁴	0.35	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-5	6,000	60	35	0.90	0.30	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
R-6	6,000	60	See Note 15	1.40	0.25	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-6B	6,000	60	See Note 15	1.50 ¹⁶	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-7	6,000	60	35	0.50	0.35	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸

Footnotes

...

18. In the Multiple-Family Residential, Business, or Industrial Districts, a buffer yard and/or landscaping buffer may be required if the property is adjacent to the MOR Zoning District or any residential district, in accordance with Table VI-1 Buffer Yards, and Table VI-2 Landscaping Buffer.

...

ARTICLE VII. STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES FOR CONDITIONAL AND SPECIAL USES

...

Section VII-2. Conditional Use Procedures

Except as otherwise provided herein, the Zoning Administrator shall not permit a conditional use until expressly authorized by the Zoning Board of Appeals and the following procedure is completed:

...

- G. The Secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals shall prepare a decision sheet that states the Board's findings of fact and decision concerning the requested conditional use for the Board Chair's signature.
- H. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the Board's decision regarding a conditional use. If the Board approves the conditional use, the Secretary to the Board shall record a copy of the decision sheet approving the conditional use with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the decision sheet to the petitioner.

...

Section VII-4. Special Use Procedures

The standards and procedures for the consideration of mobile home parks and planned unit developments are specified in Article XIII. All other special uses, as designated in Table V-1, are subject to the procedures and standards stipulated herein. Except as otherwise provided, the Zoning Administrator shall not issue a special use until expressly authorized by the City Council and the following procedure has been completed:

...

- F. The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission regarding the special use, and may authorize the Zoning Administrator to issue the special use by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
- G. In the case of a valid written protest, the special use shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the alderpersons then holding office. Procedures for protest against any proposed special use permit are specified in Section XI-11 of this Ordinance.
- H. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding a special use. If the Council approves the special use, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the special use with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner.

...

TABLE VII-1. STANDARDS FOR SPECIFIC CONDITIONAL USES

Use	Required Fencing	Setbacks (in feet) ¹			Minimum Lot Size ¹	Other Provisions
		Front	Side	Rear	(acres)	
Sanitary Landfill *	8 foot solid	200	200	200	40.0	
Sewage Lagoon **	8 foot solid	200	200	200	40.0	
Sewage Disposal Plant **	8 foot solid	100	100	100	4.0	

...

** Applications for sewage disposal facilities shall include plans for such facilities prepared by a registered professional engineer. All plans shall include assurances that the proposed facilities will not be subject to flooding, will not contaminate ground water resources, and other assurances that may be required by the Zoning Board of Appeals. All sewage disposal facilities shall be constructed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the State of Illinois, and of this Ordinance.

ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS

...

Section VIII-3. Design and Specifications of Off-Street Parking

...

E. Access Drives

...

2. No zoning lot shall have more than two driveways per frontage, unless the City Engineer approves additional driveways. The Urbana City Engineer approves all driveway locations within the public right-of-way as provided for in Chapter 20 of the Urbana City Code. Circular drives shall conform to minimum standards as shown in Figure VIII-9, or as approved by the Zoning Administrator.

Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities

...

F. Parking in a Required Yard is Prohibited Except as Follows:

...

2. Accessory off-street, when not in a structure, parking may be located in the required side yard and rear yard, provided that the parking is located behind the front face of the principal structure. In the case of a lot with no principal structure on which a principal use parking lot is to be located, parking may be located in the rear or side yard. (Ord. No. 9697-154, 6-16-97) (Ord. No. 1999-06-045, 06-11-99)

...

Section VIII-7. Bicycle Parking

- A. Provisions for the convenient and accessible parking of bicycles shall be made in accordance with Table VIII-6. In addition the following provisions shall also apply:



...

2. Type and Location of Bicycle Parking Racks

- a) Provisions regarding type and location of bicycle parking racks shall apply to new development as well as to changes in use or intensity of use in existing development.
- b) Bicycle parking rack types, placement, and layout shall be designed so as to accommodate standard bicycle models and lock types and shall be subject to the approval of the Zoning Administrator as part of the building permit review process. Examples of acceptable and unacceptable bicycle rack types are provided in Figure VIII-7, and examples and requirements for bicycle parking layout are in Figure VIII-8.

...

FIGURE VIII-8. BICYCLE PARKING LAYOUT EXAMPLES AND REQUIREMENTS



- 1 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 36" BETWEEN SIDES OF LOOPS.
- 2 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24" FROM WALL TO SIDE OF LOOP.
- 3 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 18" FROM LANDSCAPED AREA TO SIDE OF LOOP.
- 4 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 36" FROM WALL TO END OF LOOP.
- 5 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24" FROM LANDSCAPED AREA TO END OF LOOP.
- 6 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 10'-0" FROM END OF LOOP TO END OF LOOP. (CLEARANCE FOR DESIGNATED PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 6'-0")
- 7 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-0" BETWEEN SIDE OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP. (AISLE CLEARANCE BETWEEN BIKES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 4'-6")
- 8 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 6'-0" BETWEEN END OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP.
- 9 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-0" BETWEEN ROADWAY AND END OF LOOP. (CLEARANCE FOR DESIGNATED PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 6'-0")
- 10 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-6" BETWEEN END OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP. (AISLE CLEARANCE BETWEEN BIKES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 4'-6")

FIGURE VIII-8. BICYCLE PARKING LAYOUT REQUIREMENTS (CONT.)





FIGURE VIII-9. STANDARDS FOR CIRCULAR DRIVES



CIRCULAR DRIVEWAY DETAIL

ARTICLE IX. SIGN AND OASS REGULATIONS

...

Section IX-2. Sign and OASS Definitions

...

Private Traffic Directional and Instructional Signs: Any on-premise sign designed to direct and instruct motorists to access and circulate onsite in an orderly and safe manner.

...

Section IX-4. General Sign Allowances

...

C. *Electronic Display.* Freestanding signs and wall signs authorized by this Article in the B-3, General Business, and CRE, Conservation-Recreation-Education Zoning Districts, and institutional freestanding signs authorized by this Article in the B-4, Central Business Zoning District, may include an element of electronic display when designed and operated to meet the following requirements:

...

ARTICLE X. NONCONFORMITIES

...

Section X-8. Reconstruction of Nonconformities

- ...
- D. In order to determine the fair market value of such a nonconforming building or structure prior to the damage or destruction, as necessary to carry out Section X-8.A, the Zoning Administrator shall retain a professional real estate appraiser to prepare a report. The appraisal report shall consider, among other factors influencing the value of the building or structure, the applicable termination date for the nonconforming use, as provided in Section X-7.A. The owner of the damaged building may, at his/her own option and at his/her own expense, retain another professional appraiser to prepare an independent report, and any discrepancy between the two appraisal reports shall be referred to the Zoning Board of Appeals for its resolution.

...

ARTICLE XI. ADMINISTRATION, ENFORCEMENT, AMENDMENTS AND FEES

Section XI-1. Zoning Administrator

...

- B. Duties of the Zoning Administrator: The Zoning Administrator shall have the authority and duty to administer and enforce this Ordinance, and shall:

...

4. Issue all conditional use permits, where authorized by the Board of Zoning Appeals, according to the provisions of Article VII of this Ordinance, and keep permanent and accurate records of such permits and of any conditions and standards specified therein;
5. Issue all sign permits where authorized by this Ordinance, and keep permanent and accurate records thereof;
6. Conduct such inspections of principal and accessory structures and uses as may be necessary to determine compliance with this Ordinance;
7. Maintain permanent and accurate records pertaining to variances granted, modified, or denied by the Zoning Board of Appeals, and of their other decisions and actions;

...

Section XI-3. Zoning Board of Appeals

...

- C. *Powers and Duties of the Board.* The Board shall have the power and duty to hear and decide:

...

2. On requests for variances or variations from the terms of this Ordinance.
 - a) *Authorization.* The Board is authorized to grant a minor variance and, in accordance with Section XI-3.C.2.(d), recommend approval of a major variance to the City Council. Under no circumstances shall the Board grant a variance to allow a use not permitted either by right, by special use permit or by conditional use permit under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or implicitly prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, except in the case of an appeal regarding the decision of the Zoning Administrator, pursuant to Section XI-1.B. (Ord. No. 1999-07-064, 07-06-99)
 - b) *Minor Variances.* After the Board considers the finding of fact, the Board shall have the authority to grant variations for the following purposes only and no other:
 - 10) To grant a variance from the provisions of Section X-9.B, so as to permit change, alteration, re-establishment, or more than routine maintenance of a nonconforming

outdoor advertising sign structure where such change, alteration, re-establishment, or maintenance shall not increase the size of the outdoor advertising sign structure, make it radiate or reflect more light, or otherwise make it visually more objectionable. No such variance granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals shall in any way postpone the time for removal of the nonconforming outdoor advertising sign structure as provided in Section X-9.C, beyond the time when the original outdoor advertising sign structure which was permitted to be changed, altered, re-established or maintained hereunder would have been required to be removed.

c) *Variance Criteria*

...

- 3) In determining whether or not a variance should be granted, the body considering the variance shall consider the following criteria:
 - (a) The proposed variance will not serve as a special privilege because the variance requested is necessary due to special conditions and circumstances relating to the land or structure involved or to be used for occupancy thereof which is not generally applicable to other lands or structures in the same district;
 - (b) The variance requested was not the result of a situation or condition having been knowingly or deliberately created by the petitioner;

...

d) *Minor Variance Procedures.*

- 1) The Administrative Secretary to the Board shall prepare a decision sheet that states the Board's findings of fact and decision concerning the requested minor variance for the Board Chair's signature.
- 2) The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the Board's decision regarding a minor variance request. If the Board approves the variance, the Secretary to the Board shall record a copy of the decision sheet approving the variance with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded decision sheet to the petitioner.

e) *Major Variance Procedures*

...

- 3) After receiving the findings and recommendations of the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Council shall consider and decide whether or not to grant the major variance. If the Council decides to grant the major variance requested, it shall do so by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office that recites the findings of fact which support their decision and sets forth any conditions the Council deems necessary or desirable. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The Council may take additional testimony or other evidence regarding the requested major variance.

...

- 6) The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding a major variance request. If the Council approved the variance, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the variance with the

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner.

...

Section XI-7. Amendments

The regulations and standards, restrictions, and district boundaries set forth in this Ordinance may from time to time be amended, supplemented, changed, or repealed. No such action may be taken except by following the procedure set forth in this Section or by approval and execution of an annexation agreement according to State Law and procedures outlined in Section XI-14 in this Article. The required procedure in this section includes the following:

- A. A written application is submitted to the Plan Commission. The City Council, the Plan Commission, the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Zoning Administrator, the City Attorney or any interested person may initiate such application. If the proposed amendment involves the reclassification of property, the application must be submitted either by the City Council, the Plan Commission, the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Zoning Administrator, or the owners of more than 50% of the property involved.

An application by the City Council, Plan Commission, or Zoning Board of Appeals may be initiated only by a majority vote of the body. Upon such action, said body shall direct the Zoning Administrator to file the written application on its behalf.

- B. Each such application, except those submitted by either the City Council, the Plan Commission, the Zoning Board of Appeals, the City Attorney, or the Zoning Administrator, shall be accompanied by a fee, to be paid by the applicant as provided in Section XI-8 of this Article.

...

- F. Within a reasonable time after the close of the public hearing, the Plan Commission shall make a report to the City Council, including a recommendation for or against the proposed amendment. The City Council shall consider the proposed amendment and the recommendation of the Plan Commission, and if the Council's decision on the proposed amendment is favorable, it shall adopt the amendment by ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

...

Section XI-11. Protest Procedures

In the case of a valid written protest against any mobile home park, planned unit development, special use permit, change in the classification of land, or major variance filed with the City Clerk of Urbana prior to the commencement of the City Council meeting in which a vote on the proposed action is taken, the action shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the alderpersons then holding office.

In the case of a valid written protest against a proposed conditional use permit, the protest shall be filed with the Secretary of the Zoning Board of Appeals prior to the commencement of the meeting at which a vote of the proposed conditional use permit is taken. The conditional use shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the members of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

...

ARTICLE XII. HISTORIC PRESERVATION

...

- Section XII-6 District and Landmark Designation Protests**
- Section XII-7. Certificates of Appropriateness and Economic Hardship**
- Section XII-8. Affirmation of Existing Zoning**
- Section XII-9. Building Permits Previously Issued**
- Section XII-10. Penalties**
- Section XII-11. National Register of Historic Places**

...

Section XII-2. Definitions

...

Parcel: A parcel of real property other than railroad right-of-way which qualifies as a lot of record under the Urbana Subdivision and Land Development Code; and is included within a proposed historic district or is a designated landmark.

...

Valid Protest: A valid protest is a document signed by the requisite number of owners respecting each parcel which expresses a protest against the designation of such parcel as either a landmark or as part of a historic district.

Section XII-3. Historic Preservation Commission

...

B. *Purpose.* The Preservation Commission is created for the purpose of:

...

5. Performing such other functions as may be useful or necessary to safeguard and enhance the community heritage as embodied in historic properties or buildings, structures, sites or objects.

...

F. *Powers and Duties.* The Preservation Commission shall have the following powers:

...

- 10. To review and comment upon submitted applications for zoning amendments, special use permits, conditional use permits, Mixed Office Residential District provisions, or zoning variances for properties contiguous to or separated only by public right-of-way from designated landmarks and historic districts. The Zoning Administrator should send notification of such applications to the Preservation Commission for comment prior to the hearing by the Plan Commission, the Zoning Board of Appeals, or the City Council.

...

Section XII-4. Historic Districts

A. *Historic District Nomination.*

...

- 2. A completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of owners representing no less than 25% of the properties within the proposed district endorsing said nomination. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a property shall be, if a sole owner, by his or her signature, and if multiple owners, by the owners representing no less than 50% of the title interest in the property. If the affected property is owned by a corporation, a signed corporate resolution must be submitted authorizing an endorsement; if owned by a partnership, the partner signing must submit an affidavit that he/she is authorized to sign on behalf of the partnership. Each property is considered independently, regardless of single ownership of multiple property.
- 3. The Preservation Commission may request additional information from the applicant, but at a minimum, nominations shall include the following:
 - a) The name and address of the owner of each property proposed for designation;

...

B. *Notice.* The following forms of notice shall be made for historic district nominations:

- 1. *Notice of Application.* Within ten days of accepting a complete application, the Secretary shall notify owners within the proposed district of said application. If there are multiple owners for one property, each owner shall be sent a notice. Such notification shall be given by first class mail. In addition, the Secretary shall include a copy of the application or relevant portions thereof for the owners' information, as well as appropriate general information on the City's historic preservation ordinance including information describing the Certificate of Appropriateness process and when a certificate is required.
- 2. *Notice of Public Hearing.* Not less than ten days before a public hearing on the proposal, the following forms of notice shall be made:
 - a) *Property Owner.* The Secretary shall notify owners of the time, date, and location of the public hearing and include a form whereby the owner can express his/her opinion regarding the proposed designation. Notification shall be by first class mail to:

1) The occupant of the property at the address of the property;

...

b) *Publication and Sign.* Notification shall be given in accordance with Section XI-10.

...

E. *Decisions on Designation.*

1. Historic Preservation Commission Authority:

...

c) Owners within proposed historic district shall be notified by first class mail with a copy of the recommendation 10 days prior to the City Council meeting when designation will be considered.

d) The Secretary shall send a copy of the decision and the accompanying report to:

The City Council
The nominator(s); and
The Urbana Building Safety Division.

2. City Council Authority:

a) If the City Council determines that the proposed historic district should be created, it shall do so by enacting an ordinance by majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

b) No application relating to the same property or district may be filed during the 12 months following such a denial by the Urbana City Council.

c) A property can only be nominated for one historic district at any one time and, if designated, may not be represented in a nomination or historic property vote in another proposed historic district.

...

F. *Amendment of Designation.* Once the City Council has designated a historic district, said designation may be amended by the same procedure and according to the same criteria set forth herein for designation. The Preservation Commission shall record said amendment at the office of Champaign County Recorder of Deeds.

1. To repeal designation of an existing historic district, in whole or in part, a completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of owners representing no less than 25% of the properties within the designated district endorsing said amendment. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a property shall be as stated in Section XII-4.A.2.

2. To enlarge an existing historic district, a completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of owners representing no less than 25% of the properties being proposed to be added to the designated district endorsing said amendment. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a property shall be as stated in Section XII-4.A.2.

Section XII-5. Historic Landmarks

A. *Historic Landmark Nomination.*

...

3. The Preservation Commission may request additional information from the applicant, but at a minimum, nominations shall include the following:

a) The name and address of the owner of each property proposed for designation;

...

B. *Notice.* The following forms of notice shall be made for historic landmark nominations:

1. *Notice to Property Owner.* Within ten days of accepting a complete application, the Secretary shall notify the owner(s) of the proposed landmark of the time and date of the Commission meeting where review of the application will occur. The Secretary shall include a copy of the application or relevant portions thereof for the property owner's information, as well as appropriate general information on the City's historic preservation ordinance including information describing the Certificate of Appropriateness process and when a certificate is required. Notification shall be made by first class mail to:

...

2. *Notice by Publication and Sign.* Notification shall be given in accordance with Section XI-10.

...

D. *Review Process.*

1. *Public Hearing.* The Preservation Commission shall commence a public hearing on the question of designation within 60 days of receiving a complete application. At the public hearing, the Preservation Commission shall take comments from the nominator(s), the owner(s), and any other parties who wish to be heard on the application. In addition, the Preservation Commission shall consider all written comments received by the Preservation Commission prior to or during the hearing. It is the responsibility of the nominator(s) to provide evidence of suitability for historic landmark status as well as documentation of such evidence. The owner(s) of the property may request a continuation of the public hearing until the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Preservation Commission. If such a request is made, the Preservation Commission shall grant the request and continue the public hearing until the next meeting.

...

E. *Decisions on Designation.*

1. Historic Preservation Commission Authority:

a) If the owner consents in writing to landmark designation, and the Preservation Commission finds that the property conforms to one or more criteria set forth in Section XII-5.C.1, the Preservation Commission may make the final determination and designate the property as a historic landmark, following the voting requirements per Section XII-3.D.2.

b) Lacking written owner consent to the landmark designation, the Preservation Commission shall recommend that the Urbana City Council approve or deny said application as specified in Section XII-3.D.2. The Preservation Commission's

recommendation shall be in writing and shall be accompanied by minutes of the meeting. Said recommendation shall then be forwarded to the Urbana City Council for consideration at a City Council meeting occurring within 60 days following the date of the close of the public hearing.

- c) The landmark owners shall be notified by first class mail with a copy of the recommendation 10 days prior to the City Council meeting when designation will be considered.
 - d) The Secretary shall send a copy of the decision and the accompanying report to:
 - The City Council
 - The nominator(s); and
 - The Urbana Building Safety Division.
2. City Council Authority:
- a) If the City Council determines that the proposed historic landmark should be designated, it shall do so by enacting an ordinance by majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

...

Section XII-6. District and Landmark Designation Protests

- A. If a valid protest is timely filed against any application to designate a historic district or historic landmark, or to amend or rescind an existing designation, such application shall only be approved by a minimum two-thirds vote of the alderpersons then holding office, excepting those who abstain for reason of a proclaimed conflict of interest.
 - 1. With respect to a historic district, to be considered a valid protest, at least 40% of the properties within the subject district shall have protest documents signed and submitted on behalf of such properties by the respective owners of such properties as set forth in subsection C) below.
 - 2. With respect to a landmark, to be considered a valid protest as to the subject property, a protest document, signed and submitted on behalf of such property by the respective owner(s) of such property as set forth in subsection C) below.
 - 3. For a protest to be valid, it must be signed by the following persons as appropriate:
 - a) If a sole owner, by such sole owner;
 - b) If the owners are more than one, by not less than 50% of the title interest in such property or properties; or
 - c) If the owner is a corporation, it must be accompanied by a signed corporate resolution authorizing the protest; if owned by a partnership, the partner signing must submit an affidavit that he/she is authorized to sign on behalf of the partnership.
- B. Each property is considered independently, regardless of single or multiple ownership.
- C. To be considered as timely filed, a valid protest must be filed with the City Clerk by 5:00PM on the Wednesday preceding the City Council meeting at which the designation will be considered

until which time said protest can be withdrawn but after which time said protest shall be final. The City Clerk shall forthwith provide a copy of said protest to the Secretary.

Section XII-7. Certificates of Appropriateness & Economic Hardship

...

B. *Certificate of Appropriateness process.*

...

3. *Minor and Major Work.* Except for applications determined to be exempt undertakings requiring no review, as provided in Section XII-7.B.1, the Zoning Administrator and Chair shall determine whether the proposed undertaking(s) constitutes Minor Work or Major Work as defined under Section XII-2 and Tables XII-1 and XII-2 of this Article. When both the Zoning Administrator and Chair determine that the activity constitutes Minor Work then the project shall be reviewed administratively.
4. *Administrative review.* When both the Zoning Administrator and Chair determine that the proposed undertaking(s) constitutes Minor Work, then the application shall be reviewed administratively following the criteria specified in Section XII-7.C of this Article. For Minor Work, within ten working days of receipt of a complete application, written notice shall be made that said application is either approved, approved with conditions, or denied. Approval or approval with conditions shall require agreement of both the Zoning Administrator and Chair that the application conforms to the specified criteria. In the case of denial by both the Zoning Administrator and Chair, the reasons for denial shall be cited. If one but not both the Zoning Administrator or Chair determines that the application does not conform to the specified criteria, the Secretary shall forward the application to the Historic Preservation Commission for review.
5. *Historic Preservation Commission review.*

...

- b) *Review criteria.* Following input provided at the public hearing, the Historic Preservation Commission shall determine whether the application conforms to the criteria specified in Section XII-7.C of this Article.
- c) *Commission action.* Following input, the Commission shall vote on a motion to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application based on the application's conformance with the review criteria. Any conditions imposed for approval must relate to the specified review criteria. In denying a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Commission shall provide reasons for denial and should recommend ways to bring the application into conformance. The Commission is the final decision-making body for Certificates of Appropriateness, unless the decision is appealed in accordance with Section XII-7.E of this Article.

...

D. *Certificate of Economic Hardship.*

...

2. *Public hearing.* The Historic Preservation Commission shall hold a public hearing within 50 calendar days of the Secretary having received a complete application. Notice of the public hearing shall conform to the procedures specified in Section XI-10.

...

4. *The Factors and Standards for Commission Decision.* The factors to be considered by the Commission on the issue of economic hardship shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

...

- b) A substantial decrease in the financial return to owner(s) as a result of the denial of the certificate of appropriateness;

...

E. *Appeals*

4. *Notice of appeal.* The Secretary shall give due notice of the hearing in writing to the appellant, the owner, and the City Council. At least 15 days, but not more than 30 days, notice of the time and place of the hearing on the appeal shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Urbana. The notice of such hearing shall contain the address and location of the property involved in the appeal, if any, and a brief description of the issue being appealed.

...

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

Section XII-8. Affirmation of Existing Zoning

...

Section XII-9. Building Permits Previously Issued

...

Section XII-10. Penalties

...

Section XII-11. National Register of Historic Places

...

ARTICLE XIII. Special Development Provisions

...

Section XIII-2. Mobile Home Parks

...

- C. *Application Procedure.* It shall be unlawful for any person to construct, alter, or extend any mobile home park unless a valid special use permit has been issued by the Zoning Administrator in the name of such person for the specific construction, alteration, or extension proposed. The designation and approval by permit of an area as a "mobile home park" shall be accomplished in accordance with the procedures indicated herein.

...

3. *Preliminary Development Plan Review.* Upon receipt of the mobile home park application, the required material to be presented, and the payment of the applicable fees, as provided in Section XI-8, the Chair of the Plan Commission shall set a public hearing date in accordance with the procedures for considering a special use. Within 60 days after the public hearing, the Plan Commission shall recommend approval or disapproval, or, at the request of the applicant, shall continue discussion pertaining to the preliminary development plan. The Plan Commission shall then forward the preliminary plan and its recommendation to the City Council for consideration.

...

4. *Preliminary Development Plan Approval*
- a) The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission regarding the requested special use permit, and may authorize the Zoning Administrator to issue the permit by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
 - b) Approval by ordinance of the preliminary development plan by the City Council shall constitute approval of the basic provisions and outline of the plan, and approval of the representations and provisions of the applicant regarding the plan. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any matter. A waiver of any requirement shall be of no effect unless such waiver is included in the approval ordinance, duly passed and approved. Council approval of the preliminary development plan shall be valid for a period of 12 months. If the applicant does not file the final development plan in accordance with the procedure specified in paragraph 5, below, or receive a waiver or extension from the City Council, the preliminary plan approval of the City Council shall lapse and thereafter be null and void.

...

7. *Final Development Plan Approval and Recording.* The City Council shall consider the final development plan and the recommendation thereon from the Plan Commission, and may vote whether or not to approve the final development plan by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. In case of a written protest against the proposed plan at this stage, the provisions of Section XI-11 of this Ordinance shall apply.

...

- 8. *Combined Preliminary and Final Development Plan Approval.* The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission, and may approve or disapprove the development plan by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any matter. A waiver of any requirement shall be of no effect unless such waiver is included in the approval ordinance, duly passed and approved. In approving a combined plan, the City Council may impose any conditions, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.

...

Section XIII-3. Planned Unit Developments

(Ord. No. 2007-01-003, 04-02-07)

H. *Preliminary Development Plan Review*

...

- 2. *City Council Review.* The City Council shall review the application and Plan Commission recommendations and either approve, approve with changes, or disapprove the preliminary Planned Unit Development plan. Approval or approval with changes shall be made by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any requirements. A waiver of any requirement shall be expressly written.

...

- 4. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding the Preliminary Planned Unit Development plan. If the Council approves the plan, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the plan with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner within six months following passage of the ordinance approving said plan.

...

J. *Final Planned Unit Development Review.*

...

- 4. The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission and either approve, approve with changes, or disapprove the Final Planned Unit Development plan. Approval or approval with changes shall be made by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
- 5. In the case of a valid written protest, the Planned Unit Development Permit shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. Procedures for protest against any proposed Planned Unit Development Permit are specified in Section XI-11 of this Ordinance.

6. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding the Final Planned Unit Development plan. If the Council approves the plan, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the plan with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner within six months following passage of the ordinance approving said plan.

...

Section XIII-5. Neighborhood Conservation Districts

(Ord. No. 2007-06-059, 11-05-07)

...

M. Final Determination.

1. The City Council shall by majority vote of alderpersons then holding office either approve, approve with amendments, or deny both the proposed district and any design guidelines. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.
2. In the case of a valid protest as specified in Section XIII-5.M, action on both the proposed district and any design guidelines shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the alderpersons then holding office.

...

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE URBANA ZONING ORDINANCE

(Omnibus Text Amendment III – Plan Case No. 2384-T-19)

WHEREAS, the City Council passed Ordinance No. 9293-124 on June 21, 1993, which adopted the 1993 Comprehensive Amendment to replace the 1979 Comprehensive Amendment to the 1950 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Urbana (“City”), which is also known as the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (“Zoning Ordinance”); and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance from time to time is amended to assist in the daily administration of the Zoning Ordinance, reduce inconsistencies, and update regulations to meet current professional standards; and

WHEREAS, the set of proposed changes have been identified since the last update but, on their own, not warrant separate amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Administrator has submitted a petition to amend the Zoning Ordinance, which includes numerous miscellaneous editorial changes as part of a recodification and republishing of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, said petition was presented to the Plan Commission as Plan Case No. 2384-T-19; and

WHEREAS, after due publication in accordance with Section XI-7 of the Zoning Ordinance and Section 11-13-14 of the Illinois Municipal Code (65 ILCS 5/11-13-14), the Plan Commission held a public hearing on the petition on July 18, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission voted seven ayes to zero nays on July 18, 2019, to forward Plan Case No. 2384-T-19 to the City Council with a recommendation for approval of the proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, the amendments described herein conform to the goals, objectives and policies of the 2005 Comprehensive Plan as amended from time to time; and

WHEREAS, after due and proper consideration, the City Council finds that amending the Zoning Ordinance as herein provided is in best interests of the residents of the City and is desirable for the welfare of the City's government and affairs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF URBANA, ILLINOIS, as follows:

Section 1.

The following provisions of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance are hereby amended and as amended shall read as set forth in Ordinance Attachment A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference:

- A. Article IV, "Districts and Boundaries," Section IV-1, "Number and Designation of Districts," Section IV-2, "Purpose of Districts."
- B. Article V, "Use Regulations," Section V-3, "Table of Permitted Uses, by District."
- C. Article VI, "Development Regulations," Section VI-8. "Outdoor Lighting Requirements."
- D. Article VIII, "Parking and Access," Section VIII-4, "Location of Parking Facilities," Table VIII-7, "Parking Requirements by Use."
- E. Article X, "Nonconformities," Section X-2, "Extension or Expansion of Nonconformities."
- F. Article XI, "Administration, Enforcement, Amendments and Fees," Section XI-2, "Plan Commission," Section XI-3, "Zoning Board of Appeals."
- G. Article XIII, "Special Development Provisions," Table of Contents.

Section 2.

This Ordinance shall not be construed to affect any suit or proceeding pending in any court, or any rights acquired, or a liability incurred, or any cause or causes of action acquired or existing prior to the effective date of this Ordinance; nor shall any right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired, or affected by this Ordinance.

Section 3.

The City Clerk is directed to publish this Ordinance in pamphlet form by authority of the corporate authorities, and this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication in accordance with Section 1-2-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code.

This Ordinance is hereby passed by the affirmative vote, the “ayes” and “nays” being called, of a majority of the members of the Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, at a meeting of said Council.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL this ___ day of _____, 2020.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSTAINED:

Phyllis D. Clark, City Clerk

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR this ___ day of _____, 2020.

Diane Wolfe Marlin, Mayor

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION IN PAMPHLET FORM

I, Phyllis D. Clark, certify that I am the appointed and acting Municipal Clerk of the City of Urbana, Champaign County, Illinois. I certify that on the _____ day of _____, 2020, the corporate authorities of the City of Urbana passed and approved Ordinance No. _____, entitled “An Ordinance Approving a Text Amendment (Omnibus Text Amendment / Plan Case 2384-T-19)” which provided by its terms that it should be published in pamphlet form. The pamphlet form of Ordinance No. _____ was prepared, and a copy of such Ordinance was posted in the Urbana City Building commencing on the _____ day of _____, 2020, and continuing for at least ten (10) days thereafter. Copies of such Ordinance were also available for public inspection upon request at the Office of the City Clerk.

DATED at Urbana, Illinois, this _____ day of _____, 2020.

ARTICLE IV. DISTRICTS AND BOUNDARIES

...

Section IV-1. Number and Designation of Districts

In order to carry out the purposes of this Ordinance, as specified in Section I-1, by classifying, regulating, and restricting the location of buildings erected or structurally altered for specific uses, by regulating the use of land and structures, by regulating and limiting the height and bulk of buildings and structures hereafter erected or structurally altered, by regulating and determining the area of yards and other open spaces about buildings, by regulating the intensity of the use of land or buildings, and by regulating off-street parking facilities for certain uses, the City of Urbana, Illinois, is hereby divided into 21 zoning districts, which are hereby established as follows:

- AG Agriculture
- B-1 Neighborhood Business
- B-2 Neighborhood Business – Arterial
- B-3 General Business
- B-3U General Business – University
- B-4 Central Business
- B-4E Central Business – Expansion
- CCD Campus Commercial District
- CRE Conservation-Recreation-Education
- IN-1 Light Industrial/Office
- IN-2 Heavy Industrial
- MIC Medical Institutional Campus
- MOR Mixed Office Residential
- R-1 Single-Family Residential
- R-2 Single-Family Residential
- R-3 Single- and Two-Family Residential
- R-4 Medium Density Multiple-Family Residential
- R-5 Medium High Density Multiple-Family Residential
- R-6 High Density Multiple-Family Residential
- R-6B High Density Multiple-Family Residential—Restricted Business
- R-7 University Residential

...

ARTICLE V. USE REGULATIONS

...

Section V-3. Table of Permitted Uses, by District

...

D. Properties within the Boneyard Creek District are subject to special rules and procedures as set forth in Section XIII-4.

E.

...

ARTICLE VI. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

...

Section VI-8. Outdoor Lighting Requirements

...

C. Applicability

1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or institution to install or operate any outdoor light fixture on private property which does not comply with the requirements of this Ordinance. Lighting fixtures on single and two-family residential properties shall not be subject to the requirements of Section VI-8.E.

...

ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS

...

Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities

...

- C. Accessory off-street parking may be located on a lot other than on the same zoning lot where the principal use is located as provided for in Section V-3.E.

...

TABLE VIII-7. PARKING REQUIREMENTS BY USE

...

Accessory off-street parking may be located on a lot other than on the same zoning lot where the principal use is located as provided for in Section V-3.E

...

ARTICLE X. NONCONFORMITIES

...

Section X-2. Extension or Expansion of Nonconformities

...

- B. No nonconforming use of land, except accessory parking, shall be extended or expanded.
- C. No nonconforming building, structure, or accessory parking area shall be enlarged, extended, expanded, or altered in any way which would increase its nonconformity, nor shall such a building thereafter revert to its prior state of greater nonconformity, except as provided in Section X-8. No nonconforming structure shall be moved, for any reason or for any distance, unless it shall thereafter be a conforming structure or building.

...

ARTICLE XI. ADMINISTRATION, ENFORCEMENT, AMENDMENTS AND FEES

...

Section XI-2. Plan Commission

- A. *Appointment and Membership.* Refer to Chapter 18 of the Urbana City Code.
- B. *Proceedings of the Plan Commission.*
 - 1. All meetings shall conform to the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. All meetings shall be held in a public place designated by the Chair, and be open to the public, except as allowed by law. Any interested person may appear at any open meeting and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.
 - 2. A quorum shall consist of a majority of the Plan Commission members then holding office. No action shall be taken by the Plan Commission unless a quorum is present.
 - 3. The Plan Commission shall adopt rules necessary to the conduct of its affairs and in keeping with the provisions of this Ordinance.
 - 4. The Plan Commission shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon every question, indicating that the member was absent or failed to vote, and shall also keep records of all its official actions.
 - 5. Every rule, regulation, amendment, order, requirement, decision, or determination of the Plan Commission shall, without undue delay, be filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and shall be a public record.
 - 6. In the performance of its duties, the Plan Commission may incur such expenditures as are authorized by the City Council.
 - 7. The City Planner, or his/her representative, shall serve as Secretary to the Plan Commission.
 - 8. The City Planner shall advise the Urbana Plan Commission and shall make oral or written recommendations as necessary concerning zoning matters and other business of the Plan Commission.
- C. *Powers and Duties of the Plan Commission.* Refer to Chapter 18 of the Urbana City Code.

Section XI-3. Zoning Board of Appeals

...

- B. *Proceedings of the Board*
 - 1. All meetings shall conform to the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. All meetings shall be held in a public place designated by the Chair, and be open to the public, except as allowed by law. Any interested person may appear at any open meeting and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

2. The Board shall adopt rules necessary for the conduct of its affairs and consistent with the provision of this Ordinance and the laws of the State of Illinois.
3. *Authorizations.*
 - a) All decisions shall be made by a roll call vote and shall require at least a majority of a quorum.
 - b) Conditional use requests may be granted by a simple majority.
 - c) Conditional use requests in which a valid written protest has been filed with the Secretary of the Board require a 2/3 vote.
 - d) Minor Variance requests may be granted by a simple majority.
 - e) Major Variance requests require a 2/3 vote and if so voted upon shall be forwarded to the Urbana City Council for final approval.
 - f) Appeals may be granted by a simple majority.
4. The Board shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon every question, or indicating that the member was absent or failed to vote, and shall also keep records of all its official actions.
5. A quorum shall consist of a majority of the Board members then holding office. No action shall be taken by the Board unless a quorum is present.
6. Every rule, regulation, amendment, order, requirement, decision, or determination of the Board shall be signed by the Chair or Acting Chair, attested by the Secretary, and filed in the Office of the Board. The minutes, files, and records of the Board shall be open to inspection by the public at all reasonable times, in the Office of the Zoning Administrator.
7. In the performance of its duties, the Board may incur such expenditures as are authorized by the City Council.
8. The Director of the Department of Community Development Services, or his/her representative, shall serve as Secretary to the Board.
9. Except for the Board's recommendation on a major variance, no decision of the Board shall be subject to review, modification, or reversal by the City Council or any City official, but shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to the provisions of the Illinois Administrative Review Act. (Ord. No. 1999-07-064, 07-06-99)

...

ARTICLE XIII. Special Development Provisions

- Section XIII-1. Telecommunications Facilities, Towers and Antennas**
- Section XIII-2. Mobile Home Parks**
- Section XIII-3. Planned Unit Developments**
- Section XIII-4. Special Procedures in the Boneyard Creek District**
- Section XIII-5. Neighborhood Conservation Districts**
- Section XIII-6. Condominium Conversions**
- Section XIII-7. Wind Energy Systems**
- Section XIII-8. Southeast Urbana Overlay District**

...

TABLE OF CONTENTS

...

ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS95

Section VIII-1. Applicability 95

Section VIII-2. Special Use Permit Requirements for Off-Site Accessory Parking 95

Section VIII-3. Design and Specifications of Off-Street Parking 96

Table VIII-1. Handicapped Parking 97

Table VIII-2. Parking Lot and Parking Space Standards 98

Table VIII-3. Widths for Access Drives 99

Table VIII-4. Shrub Species 100

Table VIII-5. Shade Tree Species 100

Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities 101

Section VIII-5. Amount of Parking Required 104

Section VIII-6. Off-Street Loading Regulations 106

Section VIII-7. Bicycle Parking 107

Table VIII-6. Bicycle Parking Requirements by Use¹ 109

Table VIII-7. Parking Requirements by Use 110

Figure VIII-1. Parking Modules with Flexible Aisle Widths 112

Figure VIII-2. Typical Turnaround Designs for 90° Parking Access Drive 113

Figure VIII-3. Requirements for Access Drive 114

Figure VIII-5. Requirements for Shade Tree 115

Figure VIII-6. Surface Drainage 116

Figure VIII-7. Bicycle Parking Rack Types 117

Figure VIII-8. Bicycle Parking Layout Examples and Requirements *pg. no. TBD*

Figure VIII-89. Standards for Circular Drives 118

...

ARTICLE XII. HISTORIC PRESERVATION181

...

Section XII-~~5-16~~. District and Landmark Designation Protests 192

Section XII-~~67~~. Certificates of Appropriateness & Economic Hardship 193

Table XII-1: Level Of Review for Contributing Properties 199

Table XII-2: Level Of Review for Non-Contributing Properties 201

Section XII-~~78~~. Affirmation of Existing Zoning 203

Section XII-~~89~~. Building Permits Previously Issued 203

Section XII-~~910~~. Penalties 203

Section XII-~~4011~~. National Register of Historic Places 203

ARTICLE II. DEFINITIONS

...

Section II-3. Definitions

...

Carport: A structure, with one or more open sides, ~~attached to a dwelling~~ designed to shelter ~~automobiles-vehicles, belonging to the occupants of the dwelling.~~

...

Garage, Private: A detached accessory building, or a portion of a ~~principal main~~ building, ~~housing~~ or designed to house ~~vehicles automobiles belonging to the occupants of the dwelling~~ on the lot on which the garage is located, or other specified dwelling. The term shall also include carports.

...

Owner: A person having a legal or equitable interest in personal property or real property. The terms "person," "personal property," and "real property" have the meanings set forth in Urbana City Code Section 1-3, as amended.

...

Variance, Major: A deviation from the regulations or standards of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance, ~~but which does not vary the use regulations and which the City Council, after a public hearing before the Board of Zoning Appeals and upon favorable recommendation of the Board of Zoning Appeals, is permitted to grant. (Ord. No. 8990-65, § 2, 1-16-90)~~ other than a minor variance, that does not vary the use regulations. (Ord. No. 8990-65, § 2, 1-16-90)

Variance, Minor: A deviation from the regulations or standards of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance ~~for specific and selected purposes which that the Zoning Board of Appeals Board of Zoning Appeals is permitted to may grant for specific and selected purposes. (Ord. No. 8990-65, § 2, 1-16-90)~~

...

ARTICLE V. USE REGULATIONS

...

Section V-2. Principal and Accessory Uses and Structures

...

Section V-5. Additional Use Regulations in the R-6B District

...

- B. The requirements of Section V-3-~~C2.C~~ shall apply in the R-6B District except that the restriction requiring a conditional use permit for more than one principal use per building shall not apply.

...

Section V-10. Additional Regulations in the MIC District

...

All development regulations of the B-4E zoning district shall apply during the review of a development proposal for a building permit in the MIC District with the following exceptions or additions:

The following uses are permitted by right in this ~~Overlay Zoning District~~ special zoning district: medical related uses, drugstore, day care center facility, hospital or clinic, ambulance service, medical carrier service, home for the aged, nursing home, fitness center; guest house for patient families/support members, and health care-related business or professional medical office building and methadone treatment facility. (Ord. No. 2001-10-117, 1792-T-01) (No. 2002-08-083, 1824-T-02)

The following uses are permitted by right in this ~~Overlay Zoning District~~ special zoning district if constructed within the same structure as a health care-related business: professional office, institution of an educational or charitable nature; telegraph office; university or college; barber shop; beauty shop; fitness center; dry cleaning or laundry establishment; laundry and/or dry cleaning pick-up; ~~self-service~~ self-service laundry; mortuary; bank or savings and loan association; vocational, trade or business school; restaurant; café; photographic studio and equipment sales and service; fast-food restaurant; dairy store; confectionery store; stationery-gift shop-art supplies; florist; bookstore. The health care-related or professional medical office use must be the principal use and exceed the following percentages of the structure's net floor area as outlined below or the property must be rezoned to MIC to allow any of these uses as a ~~stand~~ stand-alone use per Section XI-7 of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance:

...

ARTICLE VI. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

...

Section VI-3. Lot Area and Width

...

- D. In the MOR District, the maximum area of a zoning lot shall be 8,500 square feet for the purpose of calculating the floor area ratio ~~and open space ratio.~~ The objective of this Section is to keep new structures compatible with the scale and density of existing development in the MOR District by preventing the use of one large parcel for the purpose of erecting a single large structure. In the case of zoning lots which contain between 8,500 and 17,000 square feet, the amount of square feet in excess of 8,500 square feet may be used for parking, landscaping, open space or other uses in accordance with the site plan review procedure in Section XI-12. In the case of zoning lots ~~which~~ that exceed 17,000 square feet, the lot may contain two or more principal structures based on a ratio of one structure for each 8,500 square feet of area in the lot in accordance with this Section. However, in order to establish two principal structures on one lot, a conditional use permit must be approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals in accord with the requirements of Section V-3.C and Section VII-2. (Ord. No. 8283-52, § 1, 3-7-83; Ord. No. 8687-15, § 1,2, 8-4-86; Ord. No. 8990-65, § 5, 1-16-90; Ord. No. 9091-59, § 9, 11-19-90)

...

TABLE VI-3. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS BY DISTRICT

Zoning District	Minimum Lot Size (In square feet)	Minimum Lot Width	Maximum Height of Principal Structure	Maximum Floor Area Ratio	Minimum Open Space Ratio	Required Yards (In Feet) ¹		
						Front	Side	Rear
AG	1 acre ²	150	35 ³	0.25	0.55	25	15	25
B-1	6,000	60	35 ³	0.30	none	15	7 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-2	6,000	60	35 ³	1.50 ⁴	0.15	15	7 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-3	6,000	60	none ³	4.00	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
B-3U	6,000	60	none	4.00	0.10	15	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
B-4	2,000	20	none ³	9.00	none	none	None ¹⁸	None ¹⁸
B-4E	4,000	40	none	6.00	none	6	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
CCD	6,000	60	none	4.0	0.10 ⁵	6	5	5
CRE	1 acre	150	35 ³	0.40	0.55	25	15	25
IN-1	6,000	60	none	2.00	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
IN-2	10,000	90	none	1.00	none	25	None ¹⁸	None ¹⁸
MIC ⁶	4,000	40	none	9.00 ⁷	none	6	5	5
MOR	6,000	60	35 ³	0.70 ⁸	0.30 ⁸	15 ⁹	7 (17) ¹⁰	10
R-1	9000 ¹¹	80	35	0.30 ¹¹	0.50 ¹¹	25 ⁹	5 (15) ¹²	10
R-2	6,000 ¹³	60 ¹³	35 ¹⁷	0.40	0.40	15 ⁹	5	10
R-3	6,000 ¹³	60 ¹³	35 ¹⁷	0.40	0.40	15 ⁹	5	10
R-4	6,000	60	35 ¹⁷	0.50 ¹⁴	0.35	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-5	6,000	60	35	0.90	0.30	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	5 ¹⁸
R-6	6,000	60	See Note 15	1.40	0.25	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-6B	6,000	60	See Note 15	1.50 ¹⁶	none	15	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸
R-7	6,000	60	35	0.50	0.35	15 ⁹	5 ¹⁸	10 ¹⁸

Footnotes

...

18. In the Multiple-Family Residential, Business, or Industrial B-4, B-4E, and IN-2 Districts, a buffer yard and/or landscaping buffer may be required if the property is adjacent to the MOR Zoning District or any a-residential district, a ten foot rear buffer yard is required, in accordance with Table VI-31 Buffer Yards, and Table VI-2 Landscaping Buffer.

...

ARTICLE VII. STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES FOR CONDITIONAL AND SPECIAL USES

...

Section VII-2. Conditional Use Procedures

Except as otherwise provided herein, the Zoning Administrator shall not permit a conditional use until expressly authorized by the Zoning Board of Appeals and the following procedure is completed:

...

- G. The Secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals shall prepare a decision sheet that states the Board's findings of fact and decision concerning the requested conditional use for the Board Chair's signature.
- H. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the Board's decision regarding a conditional use. If the Board approves the conditional use, the Secretary to the Board shall record a copy of the decision sheet approving the conditional use with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the decision sheet to the petitioner.

...

Section VII-4. Special Use Procedures

The standards and procedures for the consideration of mobile home parks and planned unit developments are specified in Article XIII. All other special uses, as designated in Table V-1, are subject to the procedures and standards stipulated herein. Except as otherwise provided, the Zoning Administrator shall not issue a special use until expressly authorized by the City Council and the following procedure has been completed:

...

- F. The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission regarding the special use, and may authorize the Zoning Administrator to issue the special use by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
- G. In the case of a valid written protest, the special use shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the ~~members of the City Council~~ alderpersons then holding office. Procedures for protest against any proposed special use permit are specified in Section XI-11 of this Ordinance.
- H. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding a special use. If the Council approves the special use, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the special use with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner.

...

TABLE VII-1. STANDARDS FOR SPECIFIC CONDITIONAL USES

Use	Required Fencing	Setbacks (in feet) ¹			Minimum Lot Size ¹	Other Provisions
		Front	Side	Rear	(acres)	
Sanitary Landfill *	8 foot solid	200	200	200	40.0	
Sewage Lagoon **	8 foot solid	200	200	200	40.0	
Sewage Disposal Plant **	8 foot solid	100	100	100	4.0	

...

** Applications for sewage disposal facilities shall include plans for such facilities prepared by a registered professional engineer. All plans shall include assurances that the proposed facilities will not be subject to flooding, will not contaminate ground water resources, and other assurances that may be required by the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals. All sewage disposal facilities shall be constructed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the State of Illinois, and of this Ordinance.

ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS

...

Section VIII-3. Design and Specifications of Off-Street Parking

...

E. Access Drives

...

2. No zoning lot shall have more than two driveways per frontage, unless the City Engineer approves additional driveways. The Urbana City Engineer approves all driveway locations within the public right-of-way as provided for in Chapter 20 of the Urbana City Code. Circular drives shall conform to minimum standards as shown in Figure VIII-8~~9~~, or as approved by the Zoning Administrator.

Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities

...

F. Parking in a Required Yard is Prohibited Except as Follows:

...

2. Accessory off-street, when not in a structure, parking may be located in the required side yard and rear yard, provided that the parking is located behind the ~~rear~~ front face of the principal structure. In the case of a lot with no principal structure on which a principal use parking lot is to be located, parking may be located in the rear or side yard. (Ord. No. 9697-154, 6-16-97) (Ord. No. 1999-06-045, 06-11-99)

...

Section VIII-7. Bicycle Parking

- A. Provisions for the convenient and accessible parking of bicycles shall be made in accordance with Table VIII-6. In addition the following provisions shall also apply:



...

2. Type and Location of Bicycle Parking Racks

- a) Provisions regarding type and location of bicycle parking racks shall apply to new development as well as to changes in use or intensity of use in existing development.
- b) Bicycle parking rack types, ~~and~~ placement, and layout shall be designed so as to accommodate standard bicycle models and lock types and shall be subject to the approval of the Zoning Administrator as part of the building permit review process. Examples of acceptable and unacceptable bicycle rack types are provided in Figure VIII-7, and examples and requirements for bicycle parking layout are in Figure VIII-8.

...

FIGURE VIII-8. BICYCLE PARKING LAYOUT EXAMPLES AND REQUIREMENTS



- 1 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 36" BETWEEN SIDES OF LOOPS.
- 2 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24" FROM WALL TO SIDE OF LOOP.
- 3 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 18" FROM LANDSCAPED AREA TO SIDE OF LOOP.
- 4 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 36" FROM WALL TO END OF LOOP.
- 5 DIMENSION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24" FROM LANDSCAPED AREA TO END OF LOOP.
- 6 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 10'-0" FROM END OF LOOP TO END OF LOOP. (CLEARANCE FOR DESIGNATED PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 6'-0")
- 7 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-0" BETWEEN SIDE OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP. (AISLE CLEARANCE BETWEEN BIKES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 4'-6")
- 8 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 6'-0" BETWEEN END OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP.
- 9 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-0" BETWEEN ROADWAY AND END OF LOOP. (CLEARANCE FOR DESIGNATED PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 6'-0")
- 10 DIMENSION OF AISLE SHALL BE 8'-6" BETWEEN END OF LOOP AND END OF LOOP. (AISLE CLEARANCE BETWEEN BIKES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 4'-6")

FIGURE VIII-8. BICYCLE PARKING LAYOUT REQUIREMENTS (CONT.)





FIGURE VIII-98. STANDARDS FOR CIRCULAR DRIVES



CIRCULAR DRIVEWAY DETAIL

ARTICLE IX. SIGN AND OASS REGULATIONS

...

Section IX-2. Sign and OASS Definitions

...

Private Traffic Directional and Instructional Signs: Any on-premise sign designed to direct and instruct motorists to access and circulate onsite in an orderly and safe manner. ~~Per Code of Federal Regulations Title 23, Part 655.603, internal traffic control signs shall conform to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.~~

...

Section IX-4. General Sign Allowances

...

C. *Electronic Display.* Freestanding signs and wall signs authorized by this Article in the B-3, General Business, and CRE, Conservation-Recreation-Education Zoning Districts, and institutional freestanding signs authorized by this Article in the B-4, Central Business Zoning District, may include an element of electronic display when designed and operated to meet the following requirements:

...

ARTICLE X. NONCONFORMITIES

...

Section X-8. Reconstruction of Nonconformities

- ...
- D. In order to determine the fair market value of such a nonconforming building or structure prior to the damage or destruction, as necessary to carry out Section X-8.A, the Zoning Administrator shall retain a professional real estate appraiser to prepare a report. The appraisal report shall consider, among other factors influencing the value of the building or structure, the applicable termination date for the nonconforming use, as provided in Section X-7.A. The owner of the damaged building may, at his/her own option and at his/her own expense, retain another professional appraiser to prepare an independent report, and any discrepancy between the two appraisal reports shall be referred to the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals for its resolution.

...

ARTICLE XI. ADMINISTRATION, ENFORCEMENT, AMENDMENTS AND FEES

Section XI-1. Zoning Administrator

...

- B. Duties of the Zoning Administrator: The Zoning Administrator shall have the authority and duty to administer and enforce this Ordinance, and shall:

...

4. Issue all conditional use permits, where authorized by the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, according to the provisions of Article VII of this Ordinance, and keep permanent and accurate records of such permits and of any conditions and standards specified therein;
5. Issue all sign permits where authorized by this Ordinance, and keep permanent and accurate records thereof;
6. Conduct such inspections of principal and accessory structures and uses as may be necessary to determine compliance with this Ordinance;
7. Maintain permanent and accurate records pertaining to variances granted, modified, or denied by the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, and of their other decisions and actions;

...

Section XI-3. Zoning Board of Appeals

...

- C. *Powers and Duties of the Board.* The Board shall have the power and duty to hear and decide:

...

2. On requests for variances or variations from the terms of this Ordinance.
 - a) *Authorization.* The Board is authorized to grant a minor variance and, in accordance with Section XI-3.C.2.(d), recommend approval of a major variance to the City Council. Under no circumstances shall the Board grant a variance to allow a use not permitted either by right, by special use permit or by conditional use permit under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or implicitly prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, except in the case of an appeal regarding the decision of the Zoning Administrator, pursuant to Section XI-1.B. (Ord. No. 1999-07-064, 07-06-99)
 - b) *Minor Variances.* After the Board considers the finding of fact, the Board shall have the authority to grant variations for the following purposes only and no other:

...

- 10) To grant a variance from the provisions of Section X-9.B, so as to permit change, alteration, re-establishment, or more than routine maintenance of a nonconforming outdoor advertising sign structure where such change, alteration, re-establishment, or maintenance shall not increase the size of the outdoor advertising sign structure, make it radiate or reflect more light, or otherwise make it visually more objectionable. No such variance granted by the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals shall in any way postpone the time for removal of the nonconforming outdoor advertising sign structure as provided in Section X-9.C, beyond the time when the original outdoor advertising sign structure which was permitted to be changed, altered, re-established or maintained hereunder would have been required to be removed.

c) *Variance Criteria*

...

- 3) In determining whether or not a variance should be granted, the body considering the variance shall consider the following criteria:
 - (a) The proposed variance will not serve as a special privilege because the variance requested is necessary due to special conditions and circumstances relating to the land or structure involved or to be used for occupancy thereof which is not generally applicable to other lands or structures in the same district;
 - (b) The variance requested was not the result of a situation or condition having been knowingly or deliberately created by the ~~Petitioner~~ petitioner;

...

d) Minor Variance Procedures.

- 1) The Administrative Secretary to the Board shall prepare a decision sheet that states the Board's findings of fact and decision concerning the requested minor variance for the Board Chair's signature.
- 2) The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the Board's decision regarding a minor variance request. If the Board approves the variance, the Secretary to the Board shall record a copy of the decision sheet approving the variance with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded decision sheet to the petitioner.

e) *Major Variance Procedures*

...

- 3) After receiving the findings and recommendations of the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Council shall consider and decide whether or ~~not~~ not to grant the major variance. If the Council decides to grant the major variance requested, it shall do so by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office that reciting recites the findings of fact which support their decision and ~~setting sets~~ sets forth any conditions the Council deems necessary or desirable. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The Council may take additional testimony or other evidence regarding the requested major variance.

...

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

- 6) The Urbana Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding a major variance request. If the Council approved the variance, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the variance with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner.

...

Section XI-7. Amendments

The regulations and standards, restrictions, and district boundaries set forth in this Ordinance may from time to time be amended, supplemented, changed, or repealed. No such action may be taken except by following the procedure set forth in this Section or by approval and execution of an annexation agreement according to State Law and procedures outlined in Section XI-14 in this Article. The required procedure in this section includes the following:

- A. A written application is submitted to the Plan Commission. The City Council, the Plan Commission, the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, the Zoning Administrator, the City Attorney or any interested person may initiate such application. If the proposed amendment involves the reclassification of property, the application must be submitted either by the City Council, the Plan Commission, the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, the Zoning Administrator, or the owners of more than 50% of the property involved.

An application by the City Council, Plan Commission, or ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals may be initiated only by a majority vote of the body. Upon such action, said body shall direct the Zoning Administrator to file the written application on its behalf.

- B. Each such application, except those submitted by either the City Council, the Plan Commission, the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, the City Attorney, or the Zoning Administrator, shall be accompanied by a fee, to be paid by the applicant as provided in Section XI-8 of this Article.

...

- F. Within a reasonable time after the close of the public hearing, the Plan Commission shall make a report to the City Council, including a recommendation for or against the proposed amendment. The City Council shall consider the proposed amendment and the recommendation of the Plan Commission, and if the Council's decision on the proposed amendment is favorable, it shall adopt the amendment by ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

...

Section XI-11. Protest Procedures

In the case of a valid written protest against any mobile home park, planned unit development, special use permit, change in the classification of land, or major variance filed with the City Clerk of Urbana prior to the commencement of the City Council meeting in which a vote on the proposed action is taken, the action shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the ~~members of the City Council~~ alderpersons then holding office.

In the case of a valid written protest against a proposed conditional use permit, the protest shall be filed with the Secretary of the Zoning Board of Appeals prior to the commencement of the meeting at which a

vote of the proposed conditional use permit is taken. The conditional use shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the members of the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals.

...

ARTICLE XII. HISTORIC PRESERVATION

...

- Section XII-5.16** District and Landmark Designation Protests
- Section XII-67.** Certificates of Appropriateness and Economic Hardship
- Section XII-78.** Affirmation of Existing Zoning
- Section XII-89.** Building Permits Previously Issued
- Section XII-910.** Penalties
- Section XII-1011.** National Register of Historic Places

...

Section XII-2. Definitions

...

~~*Owner(s) of Record:* The person(s) or corporation or other entity in whose name(s) the property is held according to the last recorded deed in the records of the Champaign County Recorder.~~

...

Parcel: A parcel of real property other than railroad right-of-way which qualifies as a lot of record under the Urbana Subdivision and Land Development Code; and is included within a proposed historic district or is a designated landmark.

~~*Parcel Owner:* An owner of record of a parcel. (See 'owner of record.')~~

...

Valid Protest: A valid protest is a document signed by the requisite number of ~~parcel~~ owners respecting each parcel which expresses a protest against the designation of such parcel as either a landmark or as part of a historic district.

Section XII-3. Historic Preservation Commission

...

B. *Purpose.* The Preservation Commission is created for the purpose of:

...

5. Performing such other functions as may be useful or necessary to safeguard and enhance the community heritage as embodied in historic ~~parcels~~ properties or buildings, structures, sites or objects.

...

F. *Powers and Duties.* The Preservation Commission shall have the following powers:

...

10. To review and comment upon submitted applications for zoning amendments, special use permits, conditional use permits, Mixed Office Residential District provisions, or zoning variances for properties contiguous to or separated only by public right-of-way from designated landmarks and historic districts. The Zoning Administrator should send notification of such applications to the Preservation Commission for comment prior to the hearing by the Plan Commission, the ~~Board of Zoning Appeals~~ Zoning Board of Appeals, or the City Council.

...

Section XII-4. Historic Districts

A. *Historic District Nomination.*

...

2. A completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of ~~parcel~~ owners representing no less than 25% of the ~~parcels~~ properties within the proposed district endorsing said nomination. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a ~~parcel~~ property shall be, if a sole owner, by his or her signature, and if multiple owners, by the owners representing no less than 50% of the title interest in the property. If the affected property is owned by a corporation, a signed corporate resolution must be submitted authorizing an endorsement; if owned by a partnership, the partner signing must submit an affidavit that he/she is authorized to sign on behalf of the partnership. Each ~~parcel~~ property is considered independently, regardless of single ownership of multiple ~~parcels~~ property.
3. The Preservation Commission may request additional information from the applicant, but at a minimum, nominations shall include the following:
 - a) The name and address of the owner ~~of record~~ of each property proposed for designation;

...

B. *Notice.* The following forms of notice shall be made for historic district nominations:

1. *Notice of Application.* Within ten days of accepting a complete application, the Secretary shall notify ~~parcel~~ owners within the proposed district of said application. If there are multiple owners for one ~~parcel~~ property, each owner shall be sent a notice. Such notification shall be given by first class mail. In addition, the Secretary shall include a copy of the application or relevant portions thereof for the ~~property~~ owners' information, as well as appropriate general information on the City's historic preservation ordinance including information describing the Certificate of Appropriateness process and when a certificate is required.
2. *Notice of Public Hearing.* Not less than ten days before a public hearing on the proposal, the following forms of notice shall be made:
 - a) *Property Owner.* The Secretary shall notify ~~property~~ owners of the time, date, and location of the public hearing and include a form whereby the ~~property~~ owner can express

his/her opinion regarding the proposed designation. Notification shall be by first class mail to:

- 1) The occupant of the property ~~affected~~ at the address of the property;

...

- b) *Publication and Sign.* Notification shall be given in accordance with Section XI-10.

...

E. *Decisions on Designation.*

1. Historic Preservation Commission Authority:

...

- c) ~~Affected parcel~~ Owners within proposed historic district shall be notified by first class mail with a copy of the recommendation 10 days prior to the City Council meeting when designation will be considered.

- d) The Secretary shall send a copy of the decision and the accompanying report to:

The City Council
The nominator(s); and
The Urbana Building Safety Division.

2. City Council Authority:

- a) If the City Council determines that the proposed historic district should be created, it shall do so by enacting an ordinance by majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

- b) No application relating to the same property or district may be filed during the 12 months following such a denial by the Urbana City Council.

- c) A ~~parcel~~ property can only be nominated for one historic district at any one time and, if designated, may not be represented in a nomination or historic property ~~parcel~~ vote in another proposed historic district.

...

F. *Amendment of Designation.* Once the City Council has designated a historic district, said designation may be amended by the same procedure and according to the same criteria set forth herein for designation. The Preservation Commission shall record said amendment at the office of Champaign County Recorder of Deeds.

1. To repeal designation of an existing historic district, in whole or in part, a completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of ~~parcel~~ owners representing no less than 25% of the ~~parcels~~ properties within the designated district endorsing said amendment. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a ~~parcel~~ property shall be as stated in Section XII-4.A.2.
2. To enlarge an existing historic district, a completed application form for historic district nominations must be accompanied by signatures of ~~parcel~~ owners representing no less than 25% of the properties ~~parcels~~ being proposed to be added to the designated district

endorsing said amendment. The determination of whether the application has the endorsement of the owners on behalf of a ~~parcel~~property shall be as stated in Section XII-4.A.2.

Section XII-5. Historic Landmarks

A. *Historic Landmark Nomination.*

...

3. The Preservation Commission may request additional information from the applicant, but at a minimum, nominations shall include the following:

- a) The name and address of the owner ~~of record~~ of each property proposed for designation;

...

B. *Notice.* The following forms of notice shall be made for historic landmark nominations:

1. *Notice to Property Owner.* Within ten days of accepting a complete application, the Secretary shall notify the ~~parcel~~ owner(s) of the proposed landmark of the time and date of the Commission meeting where review of the application will occur. The Secretary shall include a copy of the application or relevant portions thereof for the property owner's information, as well as appropriate general information on the City's historic preservation ordinance including information describing the Certificate of Appropriateness process and when a certificate is required. Notification shall be made by first class mail to:

...

2. *Notice by Publication and Sign.* Notification shall be given in accordance with Section XI-10.

...

D. *Review Process.*

1. *Public Hearing.* The Preservation Commission shall commence a public hearing on the question of designation within 60 days of receiving a complete application. At the public hearing, the Preservation Commission shall take comments from the nominator(s), the owner(s), and any other parties who wish to be heard on the application. In addition, the Preservation Commission shall consider all written comments received by the Preservation Commission prior to or during the hearing. It is the responsibility of the nominator(s) to provide evidence of suitability for historic landmark status as well as documentation of such evidence. The owner(s) of the ~~subject~~ property may request a continuation of the public hearing until the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Preservation Commission. If such a request is made, the Preservation Commission shall grant the request and continue the public hearing until the next meeting.

...

E. *Decisions on Designation.*

1. Historic Preservation Commission Authority:
 - a) If the ~~property~~ owner consents in writing to landmark designation, and the Preservation Commission finds that the property conforms to one or more criteria set forth in Section XII-5.C.1, the Preservation Commission may make the final determination and designate

the property as a historic landmark, following the voting requirements per Section XII-3.D.2.

- b) Lacking written owner consent to the landmark designation, the Preservation Commission shall recommend that the Urbana City Council approve or deny said application as specified in Section XII-3.D.2. The Preservation Commission's recommendation shall be in writing and shall be accompanied by minutes of the meeting. Said recommendation shall then be forwarded to the Urbana City Council for consideration at a City Council meeting occurring within 60 days following the date of the close of the public hearing.
- c) The landmark ~~parcel~~ owners shall be notified by first class mail with a copy of the recommendation 10 days prior to the City Council meeting when designation will be considered.
- d) The Secretary shall send a copy of the decision and the accompanying report to:

The City Council
The nominator(s); and
The Urbana Building Safety Division.

2. City Council Authority:

- a) If the City Council determines that the proposed historic landmark should be designated, it shall do so by enacting an ordinance by majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

...

Section XII-5.16. District and Landmark Designation Protests

- A. If a valid protest is timely filed against any application to designate a historic district or historic landmark, or to amend or rescind an existing designation, such application shall only be approved by a minimum two-thirds vote of the alderpersons then holding office, excepting those who abstain for reason of a proclaimed conflict of interest.
 - 1. With respect to a historic district, to be considered a valid protest, at least 40% of the ~~parcels~~ properties within the subject district shall have protest documents signed and submitted on behalf of such ~~parcels-properties~~ by the respective owners of such parcels-properties as set forth in subsection ~~eC~~ below.
 - 2. With respect to a landmark, to be considered a valid protest as to the subject ~~parcel~~ property, a protest document, signed and submitted on behalf of such ~~parcel-property~~ by the respective owner(s) of such parcel-property as set forth in subsection ~~eC~~ below.
 - 3. For a protest to be valid, it must be signed by the following persons as appropriate:
 - a) If a sole owner ~~of record~~, by such sole owner;
 - b) If the ~~record~~ owners are more than one, by not less than 50% of the title interest in ~~such such property or properties~~ parcel; or
 - c) If the ~~record~~ owner is a corporation, it must be accompanied by a signed corporate resolution authorizing the protest; if owned by a partnership, the partner signing must submit an affidavit that he/she is authorized to sign on behalf of the partnership.

- B. Each ~~parcel~~property is considered independently, regardless of single or multiple ownership.
- C. To be considered as timely filed, a valid protest must be filed with the City Clerk by 5:00PM on the Wednesday preceding the City Council meeting at which the designation will be considered until which time said protest can be withdrawn but after which time said protest shall be final. The City Clerk shall forthwith provide a copy of said protest to the Secretary.

Section XII-~~67~~. Certificates of Appropriateness & Economic Hardship

...

- B. *Certificate of Appropriateness process.*

...

- 3. *Minor and Major Work.* Except for applications determined to be exempt undertakings requiring no review, as provided in Section XII-~~67~~.B.1, the Zoning Administrator and Chair shall determine whether the proposed undertaking(s) constitutes Minor Work or Major Work as defined under Section XII-2 and Tables XII-1 and XII-2 of this Article. When both the Zoning Administrator and Chair determine that the activity constitutes Minor Work then the project shall be reviewed administratively.
- 4. *Administrative review.* When both the Zoning Administrator and Chair determine that the proposed undertaking(s) constitutes Minor Work, then the application shall be reviewed administratively following the criteria specified in Section XII-~~67~~.C of this Article. For Minor Work, within ten working days of receipt of a complete application, written notice shall be made that said application is either approved, approved with conditions, or denied. Approval or approval with conditions shall require agreement of both the Zoning Administrator and Chair that the application conforms to the specified criteria. In the case of denial by both the Zoning Administrator and Chair, the reasons for denial shall be cited. If one but not both the Zoning Administrator or Chair determines that the application does not conform to the specified criteria, the Secretary shall forward the application to the Historic Preservation Commission for review.
- 5. *Historic Preservation Commission review.*

...

- b) *Review criteria.* Following input provided at the public hearing, the Historic Preservation Commission shall determine whether the application conforms to the criteria specified in Section XII-~~67~~.C of this Article.
- c) *Commission action.* Following input, the Commission shall vote on a motion to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application based on the application's conformance with the review criteria. Any conditions imposed for approval must relate to the specified review criteria. In denying a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Commission shall provide reasons for denial and should recommend ways to bring the application into conformance. The Commission is the final decision-making body for Certificates of Appropriateness, unless the decision is appealed in accordance with Section XII-~~67~~.E of this Article.

...

D. *Certificate of Economic Hardship.*

...

2. *Public hearing.* The Historic Preservation Commission shall hold a public hearing within 50 calendar days of the Secretary having received a complete application. Notice of the public hearing shall conform ~~with~~to the procedures specified in Section XI-10.

...

4. *The Factors and Standards for Commission Decision.* The factors to be considered by the Commission on the issue of economic hardship shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

...

- b) A substantial decrease in the financial return to owner(s) ~~of record or other investors in the property~~ as a result of the denial of the certificate of appropriateness;

...

E. *Appeals*

4. *Notice of appeal.* The Secretary shall give due notice of the hearing in writing to the appellant, the ~~property~~ owner, and the City Council. At least 15 days, but not more than 30 days, notice of the time and place of the hearing on the appeal shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Urbana. The notice of such hearing shall contain the address and location of the property involved in the appeal, if any, and a brief description of the issue being appealed.

...

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

Section XII-87. Affirmation of Existing Zoning

...

Section XII-89. Building Permits Previously Issued

...

Section XII-910. Penalties

...

Section XII-4011. National Register of Historic Places

...

ARTICLE XIII. Special Development Provisions

...

Section XIII-2. Mobile Home Parks

...

- C. *Application Procedure.* It shall be unlawful for any person to construct, alter, or extend any mobile home park unless a valid special use permit has been issued by the Zoning Administrator in the name of such person for the specific construction, alteration, or extension proposed. The designation and approval by permit of an area as a "mobile home park" shall be accomplished in accordance with the procedures indicated herein.

...

3. *Preliminary Development Plan Review.* Upon receipt of the mobile home park application, the required material to be presented, and the payment of the applicable fees, as provided in Section XI-8, the ~~Chairman~~Chair of the Plan Commission shall set a public hearing date in accordance with the procedures for considering a special use. Within 60 days after the public hearing, the Plan Commission shall recommend approval or disapproval, or, at the request of the applicant, shall continue discussion pertaining to the preliminary development plan. The Plan Commission shall then forward the preliminary plan and its recommendation to the City Council for consideration.

...

4. *Preliminary Development Plan Approval*

- a) The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission regarding the requested special use permit, and may authorize the Zoning Administrator to issue the permit by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. ~~—~~The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
- b) Approval by ordinance of the preliminary development plan by the City Council shall constitute approval of the basic provisions and outline of the plan, and approval of the representations and provisions of the applicant regarding the plan. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any matter. A waiver of any requirement shall be of no effect unless such waiver is included in the approval ordinance, ~~or by resolution of the City Council~~, duly passed and approved. Council approval of the preliminary development plan shall be valid for a period of 12 months. If the applicant does not file the final development plan in accordance with the procedure specified in paragraph 5, below, or receive a waiver or extension from the City Council, the preliminary plan approval of the City Council shall lapse and thereafter be null and void.

...

7. *Final Development Plan Approval and Recording.* The City Council shall consider the final development plan and the recommendation thereon from the Plan Commission, and may vote whether or not to approve the final development plan by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. ~~—~~In case of a written protest against the proposed plan at this stage, the provisions of Section XI-11 of this Ordinance shall apply.

...

8. *Combined Preliminary and Final Development Plan Approval.* The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission, and may approve or disapprove the development plan by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any matter. A waiver of any requirement shall be of no effect unless such waiver is included in the approval ordinance, ~~or by resolution of the City Council,~~ duly passed and approved. In approving a combined plan, the City Council may impose any conditions, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.

...

Section XIII-3. Planned Unit Developments

(Ord. No. 2007-01-003, 04-02-07)

H. Preliminary Development Plan Review

...

2. *City Council Review.* The City Council shall review the application and Plan Commission recommendations and either approve, approve with changes, or disapprove the preliminary Planned Unit Development plan. Approval or approval with changes shall be made by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. Approval shall not be construed as an implied waiver of any requirements. A waiver of any requirement shall be expressly written.

...

4. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding the Preliminary Planned Unit Development plan. If the Council approves the plan, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the plan with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner within six months following passage of the ordinance approving said plan.

...

J. Final Planned Unit Development Review.

...

4. The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Plan Commission and either approve, approve with changes, or disapprove regarding the Final Planned Unit Development plan. Approval or approval with changes shall be made by adopting an ordinance by a majority vote of alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote. The City Council may impose any conditions or requirements, including but not limited to those recommended by the Plan Commission, which it deems appropriate or necessary in order to accomplish the purposes of this Ordinance.
5. In the case of a valid written protest, the Planned Unit Development Permit shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of the ~~members of the City Council~~ alderpersons then holding office. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.

Procedures for protest against any proposed Planned Unit Development Permit are specified in Section XI-11 of this Ordinance.

6. The Zoning Administrator shall notify the petitioner in writing of the City Council's decision regarding the Final Planned Unit Development plan. If the Council approves the plan, the City Clerk shall record a copy of the ordinance approving the plan with the Champaign County Recorder's Office, and forward a copy of the recorded ordinance to the petitioner.~~The Final Planned Unit Development plan, as approved by the City Council, shall be recorded within six months following passage of the ordinance approving said plan.~~

...

Section XIII-5. Neighborhood Conservation Districts

(Ord. No. 2007-06-059, 11-05-07)

...

M. Final Determination.

1. The City Council shall by majority vote of ~~a quorum of~~ alderpersons then holding office either approve, approve with amendments, or deny both the proposed district and any design guidelines. In the event of a tie, the Mayor may cast the deciding vote.
2. In the case of a valid protest as specified in Section XIII-5.M, action on both the proposed district and any design guidelines shall not be authorized except by a favorable vote of two-thirds of ~~the members of the City Council~~ of the alderpersons then holding office.

...

ARTICLE IV. DISTRICTS AND BOUNDARIES

...

Section IV-1. Number and Designation of Districts

In order to carry out the purposes of this Ordinance, as specified in Section I-1, by classifying, regulating, and restricting the location of buildings erected or structurally altered for specific uses, by regulating the use of land and structures, by regulating and limiting the height and bulk of buildings and structures hereafter erected or structurally altered, by regulating and determining the area of yards and other open spaces about buildings, by regulating the intensity of the use of land or buildings, and by regulating off-street parking facilities for certain uses, the City of Urbana, Illinois, is hereby divided into ~~21~~¹³ zoning districts, which are hereby established as follows:

AG	Agriculture
B-1	Neighborhood Business
B-2	Neighborhood Business – Arterial
B-3	General Business
B-3U	General Business – University
B-4	Central Business
B-4E	Central Business – Expansion
BYC	Boneyard Creek District
CCD	Campus Commercial District
CRE	Conservation-Recreation-Education
IN-1	Light Industrial/Office
IN-2	Heavy Industrial
MIC	Medical Institutional Campus
MOR	Mixed Office Residential
R-1	Single-Family Residential
R-2	Single-Family Residential
R-3	Single- and Two-Family Residential
R-4	Medium Density Multiple-Family Residential
R-5	Medium High Density Multiple-Family Residential
R-6	High Density Multiple-Family Residential
R-6B	High Density Multiple-Family Residential—Restricted Business
R-7	University Residential
BDR	Business Development and Redevelopment District

...

Section IV-2. Purpose of Districts

...

~~K. The *BDR, Business Development and Redevelopment District* pertains to downtown Urbana and surrounding area, and is intended to support implementation of the goals and policies of the Downtown Strategic Plan. These efforts are guided by the Urbana Business District Development and Redevelopment Commission.~~

...

ARTICLE V. USE REGULATIONS

...

Section V-3. Table of Permitted Uses, by District

...

- D. Properties within the Boneyard Creek District and ~~Business Development and Redevelopment District~~ are subject to special rules and procedures as set forth in Section XIII-4_ and ~~Section VII-9~~ respectively.
- ~~E. See Section VIII-4.L for regulations associated with accessory off-street parking.~~
- E. ~~F.~~

...

ARTICLE VI. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

...

Section VI-8. Outdoor Lighting Requirements

...

C. Applicability

1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or institution to install or operate any outdoor light fixture on private property which does not comply with the requirements of this Ordinance. Lighting fixtures on single and two-family residential properties shall not be subject to the requirements of Section ~~IXVI~~VI-8.E.

...

ARTICLE VIII. PARKING AND ACCESS

...

Section VIII-4. Location of Parking Facilities

...

- C. Accessory off-street parking may be located on a lot other than on the same zoning lot where the principal use is located as provided for in Section V-3.~~EG~~.

...

TABLE VIII-7. PARKING REQUIREMENTS BY USE

...

Accessory off-street parking may be located on a lot other than on the same zoning lot where the principal use is located as provided for in Section V-3.~~EG~~

...

ARTICLE X. NONCONFORMITIES

...

Section X-2. Extension or Expansion of Nonconformities

...

- B. No nonconforming use of land, except accessory parking, shall be extended or expanded.
- C. No nonconforming building ~~or~~, structure, or accessory parking area shall be enlarged, extended, expanded, or altered in any way which would increase its nonconformity, nor shall such a building thereafter revert to its prior state of greater nonconformity, except as provided in Section X-8. No nonconforming structure shall be moved, for any reason or for any distance, unless it shall thereafter be a conforming structure or building.

...

ARTICLE XI. ADMINISTRATION, ENFORCEMENT, AMENDMENTS AND FEES

...

Section XI-2. Plan Commission

- A. *Appointment and Membership.* Refer to Chapter 18 of the Urbana City Code.
- B. *Proceedings of the Plan Commission.*
1. ~~All meetings of the Plan Commission shall be held at the call of the Chairman, at such times and places within the City of Urbana as the Plan Commission may determine. In no case shall a period of more than three months elapse between meetings of the Plan Commission.~~
All meetings shall conform to the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. All meetings shall be held in a public place designated by the Chair, and be open to the public, except as allowed by law. Any interested person may appear at any open meeting and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.
 2. ~~All meetings of the Plan Commission shall be open to the public except as allowed by "An Act in Relation to Meetings" (Open Meeting Act), as approved on July 11, 1957, as subsequently amended.~~
 3. ~~2. The presence of a majority of the members of the Plan Commission shall constitute a quorum at a meeting of the Plan Commission. A quorum shall consist of a majority of the Plan Commission members then holding office. No action shall be taken by the Plan Commission unless a quorum is present.~~
 4. 3. The Plan Commission shall adopt rules necessary to the conduct of its affairs and in keeping with the provisions of this Ordinance.
 5. 4. The Plan Commission shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon every question, indicating that the member was absent or failed to vote, and shall also keep records of all its official actions.
 6. 5. Every rule, regulation, amendment, order, requirement, decision, or determination of the Plan Commission shall, without undue delay, be filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and shall be a public record.
 7. 6. In the performance of its duties, the Plan Commission may incur such expenditures as are authorized by the City Council.
 8. 7. The City Planner, or his/her representative, shall serve as Secretary to the Plan Commission.
 9. 8. The City Planner shall advise the Urbana Plan Commission and shall make oral or written recommendations as necessary concerning zoning matters and other business of the Plan Commission.
- C. *Powers and Duties of the Plan Commission.* The Plan Commission shall have the following powers and duties:

Urbana Zoning Ordinance - Republished August 2018

1. To prepare and recommend to the City Council a comprehensive plan for the City and its peripheral area and, from time to time, to review and propose any needed amendments to the plan;
2. To prepare and recommend to the City Council, from time to time, plans for specific improvements in pursuance of the official comprehensive plan;
3. To consider all amendments to the Urbana Zoning Ordinance, and make recommendations thereon to the City Council, as provided in Section XI-7 of this Article;
4. To consider all proposed special uses, and make recommendations thereon to the City Council, as provided in Article VII of the Urbana Zoning Ordinance;
5. To review subdivision plans and make recommendations thereon to the City Council, as provided in Chapter 21 entitled "Subdivisions and Other Land Developments" of the Urbana City Code;
6. To review the zoning and special use cases before the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals which are located within one and one-half miles of the limits of the City of Urbana, and textual amendments to the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance under considerations by the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals, and to make recommendations thereon to the City Council;
7. To consider any proposed annexation agreement which prescribes any zoning classification for the property to be annexed other than that automatically provided for in Section IV-5 of the Zoning Ordinance, and make recommendations thereon to the City Council as provided for in Section XI-14 of this Article. (Ord. No. 9495-95, 4-17-95)
8. Such other responsibilities as may be specifically delegated to the Plan Commission by the City Council, or by the Urbana Zoning Ordinance.

Section XI-3. Zoning Board of Appeals

...

B. *Proceedings of the Board*

1. ~~All meetings of the Board shall be held at the call of the Chair, or as determined by the rules of the Board, at such times and places within the City of Urbana as the Board may determine. All meetings shall conform to the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. All meetings shall be held in a public place designated by the Chair, and be open to the public, except as allowed by law. Any interested person may appear at any open meeting and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.~~
2. ~~All meetings of the Board shall be held in a public place designated by the Board, and shall be open to the public, except as allowed by "An Act in Relation to Meetings" (Open Meetings Act), as approved on July 11, 1957, as subsequently amended. At any meeting of the Board or at any hearing held by the Board, any interested person may appear and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.~~
2. ~~3.~~ The Board shall adopt rules necessary for the conduct of its affairs and consistent with the provision of this Ordinance and the laws of the State of Illinois.
3. ~~4.~~ *Authorizations.*

- a) All decisions shall be made by a roll call vote and shall require at least a majority of a quorum.
 - b) Conditional use requests may be granted by a simple majority.
 - c) Conditional use requests in which a valid written protest has been filed with the Secretary of the Board require a 2/3 vote.
 - d) Minor Variance requests may be granted by a simple majority.
 - e) Major Variance requests require a 2/3 vote and if so voted upon shall be forwarded to the Urbana City Council for final approval.
 - f) Appeals may be granted by a simple majority.
4. ~~5.~~ The Board shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon every question, or indicating that the member was absent or failed to vote, and shall also keep records of all its official actions.
5. ~~6.~~ The presence of a majority of the members of the Board shall constitute a quorum at a meeting of the Board. A quorum shall consist of a majority of the Board members then holding office. No action shall be taken by the Board unless a quorum is present.
6. ~~7.~~ Every rule, regulation, amendment, order, requirement, decision, or determination of the Board shall be signed by the Chair or Acting Chair, attested by the Secretary, and filed in the Office of the Board. The minutes, files, and records of the Board shall be open to inspection by the public at all reasonable times, in the Office of the Zoning Administrator.
7. ~~8.~~ In the performance of its duties, the Board may incur such expenditures as are authorized by the City Council.
8. ~~9.~~ The Director of the Department of Community Development Services, or his/her representative, shall serve as Secretary to the Board.
9. ~~10.~~ Except for the Board's recommendation on a major variance, no decision of the Board shall be subject to review, modification, or reversal by the City Council or any City official, but shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to the provisions of the Illinois Administrative Review Act. (Ord. No. 1999-07-064, 07-06-99)

...

ARTICLE XIII. Special Development Provisions

- Section XIII-1. Telecommunications Facilities, Towers and Antennas**
- Section XIII-2. Mobile Home Parks**
- Section XIII-3. Planned Unit Developments**
- Section XIII-4. Special Procedures in the Boneyard Creek District**
- Section XIII-5. Neighborhood Conservation Districts**
- Section XIII-6. Condominium Conversions**
- Section XIII-7. Wind Energy Systems**
- Section XIII-8. Southeast Urbana Overlay District**

...

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING

URBANA PLAN COMMISSION

APPROVED

DATE: June 6, 2019

TIME: 7:00 P.M.

PLACE: Urbana City Building
Council Chambers
400 South Vine Street
Urbana, IL 61801

MEMBERS PRESENT: Barry Ackerson, Andrew Fell, Tyler Fitch, Jonah Weisskopf

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Dustin Allred, Jane Billman, Lew Hopkins, Nancy Ouedraogo

MEMBERS UNEXCUSED: Chenxi Yu

STAFF PRESENT: Lorrie Pearson, Planning Manager/Zoning Administrator; Marcus Ricci, Planner II; Lily Wilcock, Planner I

OTHERS PRESENT: None

1. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM

Chair Fitch called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Roll call was taken, and there was not a quorum of the members present.

2. CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

There was none.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Due to lack of a quorum, the approval of the minutes of the Urbana Plan Commission meeting held on April 4, 2019 were continued to the next meeting.

4. COMMUNICATIONS

There were none.

5. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS

Plan Case No. 2359-T-18 – An application by the Urbana Zoning Administrator to amend the Zoning Ordinance with changes to Article II (Definitions), Article V (Use Regulations), Article VI (Development Regulations) and other relevant section, to facilitate solar energy system installation.

Chair Fitch announced that while the Plan Commission could not vote on the case at this meeting due to a lack of quorum, they could hear staff's presentation and have discussion. Marcus Ricci, Planner II, presented the staff report to the Plan Commission. He discussed the purpose for a text amendment, solar development issues with the existing Zoning Ordinance, other cities' regulations on solar arrays and staff's research on solar arrays and the main considerations staff has for creating a text amendment. To determine if a solar array use is a principal use or an accessory use, staff proposes to base the decision on the array user and on the array size. He talked about regulating principal and accessory structure solar arrays and where to permit solar arrays. He reviewed the questions in the written staff report for the Plan Commission. He stated that City staff requests that the Plan Commission continue the public hearing to August 8th at which time a draft text amendment will be presented.

The Plan Commission had questions about the following:

- If a future business wanted to install a large array to power their operations, would that be considered a principal use or an accessory use?
Mr. Ricci replied that in model regulations and other cities' regulations, if a solar array is designed to feed a nearby use, then it would be considered an accessory use regardless of its size. Any leftover energy would go to the grid and be credited for future use for that business. If they build a solar array larger than what they would need, then it would be considered a second principal use on the same zoning lot.
- Why not allow solar array farms in "Industrial" zoning districts?
Mr. Ricci replied that there would already be roads, water lines, sewer lines, etc. that would be wasted on a solar farm which does not need any of that infrastructure.
- What does research show about how neighboring property owners feel about solar array farms?
Mr. Ricci replied that there were discussions about glare and noise at a Champaign County meeting. Large solar farms are typically considered less problematic than large wind farms in these two aspects. Some studies show that small-scale solar arrays help a residential property retain its property value or sell faster. City staff is also recommending any solar array larger than one acre in size would require approval of a Conditional Use Permit and any solar array larger than five acres in size would require approval of a Special Use Permit. This would allow the City to determine if the proposed use needed additional conditions such as additional setbacks, reduced height, or screening.
- How do solar arrays effect the open space requirements?
Mr. Fell was concerned that allowing a solar array by right might lead to a landowner violating the open space requirement. Mr. Ricci explained that the majority of solar arrays would be roof-mounted. Those that are constructed on the ground would not be included in the open space requirements.
- Will allowing solar arrays as a secondary use by right in all zoning districts add to the City's responsibilities to resolve issues of residents complaining about their neighbor's trees

blocking exposure to their solar array panels or, conversely, residents being concerned that neighbors proposing solar arrays might want them to trim their trees back?

Mr. Ricci stated that he would research the impact a solar array would have on both the open space requirements and on neighboring properties.

With there being no public input, Chair Fitch suggested that the Plan Commission members go through the questions in the written staff report. The questions and members responses were as such:

- 1a) Should principal use solar arrays be regulated based on their size, with smaller arrays being permitted by right, and with larger arrays being permitted with Conditional or Special Use Permits? If not, is there some other way to regulate principal use solar arrays?

Response: Although Mr. Fell had concerns about the ambiguity of differentiating between “principal use” and “accessory use” solar arrays, Plan Commission members could not think of a better way to regulate solar arrays.

- 1b) If so, is the proposed breakdown acceptable (1 acre = by right, 1 – 5 acres = Conditional Use Permit, 5+ acres = Special Use Permit)? If not, are there other suggestions?

Response: The Plan Commission members could not reach a consensus. Some felt that more research and examples were needed, and others believed that all principal use solar arrays should require approval of a Special Use Permit. Mr. Ricci stated that Champaign County’s recent Solar Farm Zoning Text Amendment permitted solar farms in AG-1 and AG-2 zoning districts as a Special Use, so all principal use solar arrays were treated as special uses. A straw poll indicated that the majority of the Commission were in favor of treating all principal use solar arrays as a special use, with the remaining member leaning towards in favor.

- 2) Should solar arrays be regulated the same as other accessory structures, so that they would be permitted by right if they meet the accessory structure requirements in the zoning district? If not, are there other suggestions?

Response: First, the majority of the Plan Commission members agreed on the principal use/accessory use differentiation in that if a solar array is designed to generate energy for the grid, then it becomes a principal use. For the main questions, the Plan Commission did not have a recommendation. They felt they needed more information, especially regarding how it impacts the Open Space Ratio (OSR) requirement.

- 3) Should ground-mounted, accessory solar arrays be excluded from gross floor area calculations?

Response: The Plan Commission members agreed that ground-mounted, accessory solar arrays should be excluded from gross floor area calculations.

- 4) Should principal use solar arrays be permitted only in the AG, Agricultural Zoning District, or should they be permitted in other zoning districts?

Response: The Plan Commission members felt that, if principal use solar arrays were treated as a Special Use regardless of their size, they should be allowed in all zoning districts except for the R-1 (Single Family Residential), R-2 (Single Family Residential) and R-3 (Single- and Two-Family Residential) Zoning Districts. They believed that a high-density residential property owner would have to construct a rather large solar array in order to go beyond being an accessory use to the principal use multifamily residential structure on their property. In addition, the Plan Commission and City Council would have the ability to review each solar array development if they require approval of a Special Use Permit for all principal use solar arrays.

- 5) Should accessory solar arrays be permitted in all zoning districts, as long as they comply with other zoning regulations? If not, does the Commission have other suggestions?

Response: The majority of the Plan Commission agreed that accessory solar arrays should be allowed in all zoning districts with approval of a Special Use Permit. Planning staff pointed out that accessory solar arrays (roof-mounted and ground-mounted) are currently allowed by right in the Urbana Zoning Ordinance due to a text amendment a few years ago. City staff would not want to reverse what was approved in that text amendment. The proposed text amendment is to define what makes a solar array an accessory structure and then to treat it like all other accessory structures. Mr. Fell stated that solar arrays should not be included in the aggregate accessory structure area limitations. Current building plan review procedures for solar arrays include determining if they will cause a lot and its structures to become nonconforming to zoning regulations such as Floor Area Ratio and OSR. There was discussion about accessory solar arrays and whether or not they should be included in the calculations for the OSR. Currently, a ground-mounted solar array is not considered open space, and this should be clarified in the proposed text amendment. Principal use solar arrays would meet principal use and structure development regulations (height, required yards, etc.) and accessory use solar arrays would meet accessory use and structure development regulations.

This case was automatically continued to the June 20th meeting of the Plan Commission.

Plan Case Nos. 2377-T-19 – An application by the Urbana Zoning Administrator to amend the Urbana Zoning Ordinance with minor changes to Article II (Definitions), Article V (Use Regulations), Article VI (Development Regulations), Article VII (Standards and Procedures for Conditional and Special Uses), Article VIII (Parking and Access), Article IX (Signs and OASS Regulations), Article XI (Administration, Enforcement, Amendments and Fees), Article XII (Historic Preservation), Article XIII (Special Development Provisions), and to make any other changes that are deemed necessary to provide clarity and ease of administration.

Chair Fitch re-opened the public hearing for this case. Lily Wilcock, presented the staff report to the Plan Commission. She reviewed the proposed changes to the language in the Urbana Zoning Ordinance.

The Plan Commission members asked City staff to research if the language in Section V-2.C.4, “*may*” should be changed to “*shall*” so the Zoning Administrator requires an owner to sign a covenant running with the land rather than allowing the Zoning Administrator the option to require it.

The Plan Commission members discussed the definition of “*owner*” and whether it was restricting to an individual. Lorrie Pearson, Planning Manager, looked up the definition of “*person*” in the Urbana City Code and read the following to the Plan Commission, “*Person. The word “person” means any individual, partnership, corporation, joint stock association or any city or state or any subdivision thereof, and includes any trustee, receiver, assignee or personal representative thereof.*”

There was also discussion about whether the proposed language in Section IX-4 would include a commercial sign as well. Ms. Wilcock explained that the definition of “*institution*” does not

include commercial business. A commercial sign would not be allowed to have an electronic display on it under Section IX-4.C.

The case was automatically continued to the June 20th meeting of the Plan Commission.

6. OLD BUSINESS

There was none.

7. NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS

There were none.

8. NEW BUSINESS

There was none.

9. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

There was none.

10. STAFF REPORT

There was none.

11. STUDY SESSION

There was none.

12. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

The meeting was adjourned at 8:31 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lorrie Pearson, Secretary
Urbana Plan Commission

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING

URBANA PLAN COMMISSION

APPROVED

DATE: July 18, 2019

TIME: 7:00 P.M.

PLACE: Urbana City Building
Council Chambers
400 South Vine Street
Urbana, IL 61801

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dustin Allred, Jane Billman, Andrew Fell, Tyler Fitch, Lew Hopkins, Nancy Ouedraogo, Jonah Weisskopf

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Barry Ackerson, Chenxi Yu

STAFF PRESENT: Lorrie Pearson, Deputy Director of Community Development Services Department/Planning Manager; Lily Wilcock, Planner I

OTHERS PRESENT: Karen Fresca

1. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM

Chair Fitch called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Roll call was taken, and there was a quorum of the members present.

2. CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

There was none.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the April 4, 2019 regular Plan Commission meeting were presented for approval. Mr. Fell moved that the Plan Commission approve the minutes as written. Mr. Hopkins seconded the motion. Chair Fitch noted a change on Page 4, 3rd Paragraph, 3rd Line to read as such, *“representative from the University of Illinois Foundation, who had attended the meeting, approached him”*. The minutes were approved by unanimous as amended.

The minutes of the June 6, 2019 regular Plan Commission meeting were presented for approval. Mr. Fell moved that the Plan Commission approve the minutes as written. Mr. Hopkins seconded the motion. The minutes were approved by unanimous as written.

4. COMMUNICATIONS

- Email from Deborah Katz-Downie regarding Case No. 2377-T-19

5. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS

Plan Case No. 2359-T-18 – An application by the Urbana Zoning Administrator to amend the Zoning Ordinance with changes to Article II (Definitions), Article V (Use Regulations), Article VI (Development Regulations) and other relevant section, to facilitate solar energy system installation.

Chair Fitch continued this case to the September 5, 2019 regular meeting of the Plan Commission.

Plan Case Nos. 2377-T-19 – An application by the Urbana Zoning Administrator to amend the Urbana Zoning Ordinance with minor changes to Article II (Definitions), Article V (Use Regulations), Article VI (Development Regulations), Article VII (Standards and Procedures for Conditional and Special Uses), Article VIII (Parking and Access), Article IX (Signs and OASS Regulations), Article XI (Administration, Enforcement, Amendments and Fees), Article XII (Historic Preservation), Article XIII (Special Development Provisions), and to make any other changes that are deemed necessary to provide clarity and ease of administration.

Chair Fitch re-opened the public hearing for this case. Lily Wilcock, Planner I, presented an update of the staff report to the Plan Commission. She discussed the following:

1. Section V-2.C.5.b will read as such: “b) In the R-3 zoning district, no more than two principal structures are allowed. No lot will have more than two dwelling units.”
There was concern that someone might get the impression that they could have two duplex units on the same lot.
2. Comment on the communication received from Deborah Katz-Downie:
Whether via the existing Zoning Ordinance or via one of the proposed text amendments, no one would be able to diverge from the Zoning Ordinance for development regulations without coming before the Zoning Board of Appeals and asking for a variance.
3. Section V-2.C.4 – using “may” or “shall”
City staff had discussed several scenarios. Recording a covenant would only be necessary if a lot could not be legally subdivided. It might cause confusion and unnecessary expense by requiring the recording of a covenant running with the land stating that the property could not be subdivided when in fact, it could legally do so.

She summarized staff findings and read the options of the Plan Commission. She presented staff's recommendation for approval to the Urbana City Council.

Chair Fitch asked if any members of the Plan Commission had questions for City staff.

Mr. Hopkins asked what the point of changing the term “parcel” to “property” is in the Historic Preservation section. Ms. Wilcock explained that the City's Legal staff pointed out there are four

different definitions of “owner” in the Historic Preservation article. Planning staff worked with them to choose one definition using the City Code’s definition for “property owner”. A property owner and a parcel owner will have similar roles for historic preservation cases.

Mr. Hopkins inquired if a property owner and a parcel owner would ever be two different people. If so, this could affect the percentage of people required to submit an objection for a historic district. Lorrie Pearson, Deputy Director of Community Development Services/Planning Manager, explained that to submit a protest, it is based on a percentage of the number of properties. The number of people and owners are irrelevant.

Mr. Hopkins asked how we decide what a property is. Deeds are given for parcels, not properties. There is a Parcel Identification Number (PIN) assigned to each parcel. Ms. Ouedraogo noted that different entities use both “parcel” and “property”. Ms. Wilcock added that when the Zoning Ordinance refers to property in the Zoning Ordinance, it refers to the land. Generally, there is private property and real property. Parcels are distinguished by parcel lines. For historic preservation cases specifically, we refer to the property. Legal staff wanted to make the language consistent and simpler by using “property” in the proposed text amendments.

Chair Fitch opened the hearing for public input. There was none, so he closed the public input portion and opened the hearing for Plan Commission discussion and/or motion(s).

Mr. Hopkins understood the current language in the Zoning Ordinance to require a conditional use permit to allow two principal structures for all zoning districts except multifamily residential of three or more units. The focus on garage conversions is ironic because we are proposing to change the whole set of categories about multiple structures without any discussion of the affect or reason for it. Therefore, he did not understand why they were making this change. In his research, it appeared to him that these garage conversions amount to the conversion of an accessory use under 750 square feet, which can be within 18 inches of a property line into a principal use, which has to meet principal use setback requirements (10-foot rear yard setback and 5-foot side-yard setback in the R-3 Zoning District). This leads him to believe that most garage conversions of the type they are talking about would have to go before the Zoning Board of Appeals anyway because they would need a variance. Ms. Pearson replied that some would require variances. New construction might not require variances because it could be constructed to meet the setback requirements. The proposed change would address both conversions or new construction. There is a long-term goal to look at this issue more holistically, but the proposed change is a way to get closer and perhaps recognize that this is a historic use of property and perhaps should be considered as an acceptable way when the density is not changing.

Mr. Hopkins stated that the wording implies that there could be more than one use on a parcel. All the reasons that have been given for changing this text are duplexes and two buildings in the R-3 Zoning Districts. This could not be done in R-1 or R-2 Zoning Districts, and it would not be needed for R-4 and higher residential zoning districts because there is already a specific exception in the Zoning Ordinance that multi-family dwellings can be in multiple structures on one lot.

Mr. Fell doubted that there was any garage in the City that could be converted into a living space without a building permit and probably without demolishing it and rebuilding because garages do not have the structure required under the Building Code to hold up a residential use. Garages

usually do not have footings or foundations and a person cannot build on top of them. So, they would need to be torn down and rebuilt, which would require the property owner to meet the current setbacks or ask for a variance. Therefore, he felt comfortable with the proposed text language. Because it would be converted to a living space, the owner would be required to obtain a building permit.

Mr. Hopkins asked if each of the four cases, where homeowners sought approval of a conditional use permit to allow a second dwelling unit on their property, already had a second floor in their garages. Ms. Wilcock briefly noted details for each case.

Mr. Hopkins inquired if a person seeks a conditional use permit and a variance, would it be considered one case or two. Ms. Pearson replied that it would require two fees. Each approval is recorded individually with separate fees. City staff would do an analysis on each because there are different set of criteria for each. So, there is a significant amount of work involved and significant cost even though it would be presented to the Zoning Board of Appeals on the same night. In addition, there is the cost for the legal ad publication, so fees do add up.

Mr. Hopkins felt that they could make the proposed text more concise for the purpose they are trying to achieve. Because he had no idea of what the implications might be for all other zoning districts, he was inclined not to make it apply to all zoning districts and then create exceptions. He recommended leaving it that conditional use permits are required as the current Zoning Ordinance states except in the R-3 Zoning District. Duplexes would be permitted by right in two buildings. This proposed language would handle everything that the City staff is trying to accomplish without worrying about any unintended consequences.

Mr. Hopkins went on to discuss Section V-3. Table of Permitted Uses, by District in that two different uses being allowed if the uses are permitted by right. Ms. Pearson noted that they had not focused on this because the discussion by the Plan Commission at the previous meeting and a question by one of the Council members was about the duplex issue. City staff focused on the duplex issue so that people would understand what the proposed language would do. The proposed change in Section V-3 is to clarify current practice. She believed that the language was previously changed unintentionally because that is not how it is practiced.

Mr. Hopkins wondered why it is somewhere else in the Zoning Ordinance allowing multiple structures on one lot in multi-family residential zoned areas. Ms. Pearson explained that this is covered under Section V-3.C.3. City staff intended to clarify to say that if the use is allowed on a site, then it can be in more than one structure with the exception of R-1, R-2 and more specific with R-3 Zoning Districts. As the Zoning Ordinance is currently written, there are so many areas where this regulation did not make sense and could never have been applied that way. It was already addressed with multi-family residential because it is normal to have a complex of apartment buildings. With the exception of the R-1, R-2 and R-3 Zoning Districts, we do not need to worry about the residential neighborhood. So, then what we are really looking at the CRE, the commercial and the industrial lots, which often have multiple structures. City staff could not think of an instance where it would create an issue in where it is not often done. Ms. Wilcock added that in the R-3, duplexes are allowed by right. A property owner could tear their house down and build a duplex by right.

Mr. Hopkins commented that there is the inductive side and the logical side. The inductive side is if they want to do garage conversions and add another building to a lot, which does have an effect on the character of things. However, it is also true that if you attach your garage to your house and built a second dwelling unit on top of the garage, you would not need a conditional use permit because it would be considered one structure.

Mr. Fell asked if City staff was okay with two separate structures being built right next to each other or build two separate structures in one building. He understands what a separate structure is according to the Building Code, but he wanted to know what zoning considers a separate structure to be. Ms. Pearson replied that she did not know if “separate structure” was defined in the Zoning Ordinance. If not, then they would refer to the Building Code. Zoning is looking for impact to surrounding properties.

Mr. Weisskopf asked Mr. Hopkins what he was worried about happening. Mr. Hopkins replied that initially there had been no discussion about the proposed text language being applied to all zoning districts. He was now comfortable that the default is there can be multiple structures. Another concern was with the exceptions for R-1, R-2 and R-3, but he believes the exceptions are acceptable.

Ms. Ouedraogo wondered if Mr. Weisskopf had any potential problems with the proposed text language. Mr. Weisskopf stated that his understanding is that the proposed text language streamlines a process that there are already layers of protection for. Mr. Hopkins was saying that there are these unforeseen consequences of approving the proposed text amendment that we do not yet know what they are but that they are of such an extent that the Plan Commission should consider them. He is a less is more type of person and as for the time it takes to do things and the level of administration that is piled on to a process just means more time and energy.

Mr. Fitch stated that he had more of a concern about neighborhood business having two principal structures. Ms. Wilcock noted that there are 27 properties zoned B-1, Neighborhood Business, and 81% of them are non-conforming already. It is fairly difficult to establish one use on these lots, they would need variances to be able to establish a second principal use if they had enough space.

Mr. Hopkins suggested the following changes to the proposed text amendment language:

- Section V-2.C Principal and Accessory Uses to read as such, *“In any zoning district, more than one principal structure per lot may be allowed as follows:”*
- Section V-2.C.4 – Add an explanation similar to Lily’s for why it states “may” rather than “shall”
- Section V-2.C.5.b – Strike *“No lot will have more than two dwelling units.”* because it is undermining the logic of the Zoning Ordinance. Ms. Pearson suggested the following language, *“In the R-3 zoning district, no more than two principal structures and no more than two dwelling units are allowed per lot.”*

Mr. Hopkins moved that the Plan Commission forward Plan Case No. 2377-T-19 to the Urbana City Council with a recommendation for approval including his suggestions for Section V-2.C

and V-2.C.4 and Ms. Pearson's suggested change for Section V-2.C.5.b. Mr. Fell seconded the motion. Roll call on the motion was as follows:

Mr. Allred	-	Yes	Ms. Billman	-	Yes
Mr. Fell	-	Yes	Mr. Fitch	-	Yes
Mr. Hopkins	-	Yes	Ms. Ouedraogo	-	Yes
Mr. Weisskopf	-	Yes			

The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Ms. Pearson noted that this case would be forwarded to City Council on August 5, 2019.

6. OLD BUSINESS

There was none.

7. NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS

Plan Case No. 2384-T-19 – An application by the Urbana Zoning Administrator to amend the Urbana Zoning Ordinance with minor changes to Article IV (Districts and Boundaries), Article V (Use Regulations), Article X (Nonconformities), Article XI (Administration, Enforcement, Amendments, and Fees), Article XIII (Special Development Provisions), and to make any other changes that are deemed necessary to provide clarity and ease of administration.

Chair Fitch opened the public hearing for this case. Lily Wilcock, Planner I, presented this case to the Plan Commission. She reviewed the proposed changes to the Urbana Zoning Ordinance and summarized staff findings. She read the options of the Plan Commission and presented City staff's recommendation for approval to the Urbana City Council.

Chair Fitch asked if any members of the Plan Commission had questions for City staff. There was none. There was no public input from the audience. Chair Fitch opened the hearing for Plan Commission discussion and/or motion(s).

There was a question regarding Section XI-2.B.2. What constitutes a quorum? Is it based on the majority of the number of people to be appointed to the Plan Commission? Ms. Pearson stated that Planning staff would check and revise the language to clarify in time for Council consideration.

Mr. Fell moved that the Plan Commission forward Plan Case No. 2384-T-19 to the Urbana City Council with a recommendation for approval including the change in language in Section XI-2.B.1 by striking "*All meetings of the Plan Commission shall be held at the call of the Chairman, at such times and places within the City of Urbana as the Plan Commission may determine. In no case shall a period of more than three months elapse between meetings of the Plan Commission.*" and replacing it with "*All meetings shall conform to the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. All meetings shall be held in a public place designated by the Chair, and be open to the public, except as allowed by law. Any interested person may appear at any open meeting*"

and be heard either in person or by an authorized agent or attorney.”. Ms. Billman seconded the motion. Roll call on the motion was as follows:

Mr. Allred	-	Yes	Ms. Billman	-	Yes
Mr. Fell	-	Yes	Mr. Fitch	-	Yes
Mr. Hopkins	-	Yes	Ms. Ouedraogo	-	Yes
Mr. Weisskopf	-	Yes			

The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Ms. Pearson noted that this case would be forwarded to City Council on August 5, 2019.

8. NEW BUSINESS

There was none.

9. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

There was none.

10. STAFF REPORT

Ms. Pearson reported on the following:

- Plan Case Nos. 2373-PUD-19 and 2374-PUD-19 regarding 1007 West University Avenue were approved by City Council.

11. STUDY SESSION

There was none.

12. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

The meeting was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lorrie Pearson, Secretary
Urbana Plan Commission

DATE: Monday, August 5, 2019
TIME: 7:00 P.M.
PLACE: Urbana City Council Chambers, 400 S. Vine St, Urbana, IL 61801

The City Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, met in regular session August 5, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Urbana City Building.

ELECTED OFFICIALS PHYSICALLY PRESENT: City Council Members (CM) Maryalice Wu (Ward 1), Eric Jakobsson (Ward 2), Shirese Hursey (Ward 3), Bill Brown (Ward 4), Jared Miller (Ward 7), Charles A. Smyth (City Clerk), Diane Wolfe Marlin (Mayor)

ELECTED OFFICIALS PRESENT VIA TELECONFERENCE: None

ELECTED OFFICIALS ABSENT: Dean Hazen (Ward 6), Dennis Roberts (Ward 5)

STAFF PRESENT: John Schneider, James Simon, Nick Hanson, Lorrie Pearson, Lily Wilcock

OTHERS PRESENT: News-Gazette, Bishop King James and Rev. Dr. Evelyn Underwood, Elderess Melinda Carr, Kimberly Hansen, Gus Bautista, Mike Smeltzer, Paul Hixson, and Brian Olson

A. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

B. There being a quorum present, Mayor Marlin called the meeting of the Urbana City Council to order at 7:30 p.m. following a Cunningham Township meeting.

B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

City Council Member (CM) Miller made a motion to approve minutes from the July 15, 2019 regular meeting and the July 15, 2019 Joint meeting, seconded by CM Jakobsson, and passed by unanimous voice vote.

C. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

At staff request, New Business Item 4 was moved to follow Item 1 following a motion by CM Miller, seconded by CM Hursey and approved by unanimous voice vote.

D. PUBLIC INPUT

Bishop King James Underwood and Rev. Dr. Evelyn Underwood did not wish to speak but asked that their concerns regarding the Dr. Ellis Subdivision sewer issues be entered into the record.

Elderess Melinda Carr did not wish to speak but asked that her continued concerns about the Dr. Ellis subdivision sewer issues, equity and historic impact be entered into the record.

UC2B Presentation: Mike Smeltzer, Chair of the Urbana Champaign Big Broadband board introduced Paul Hixson, Board member, and Brian Olson, General Manager of I3 Broadband. They provided an annual update on UC2B to the City Council.

Mr. Smeltzer noted the 10-year length of the project and provided a short slide show reviewing the history of broadband in the community beginning in 1997. The Big Broadband project began with a successful grant of stimulus money in 2009, along with state and matching funds. UC2B was modeled after METCAD as an intergovernmental agreement, building seven fiber rings and connecting about 5,000 primarily low-income residences and anchor community organizations in 11 neighborhoods. Mr. Smeltzer noted that fiber remains the only technology useful for a long

time for data communications, and he provided a map of neighborhoods and anchor institutions connected.

Mr. Smeltzer also described the process of getting to the UC2B not-for-profit and private partner that now provides maintenance, management and ownership of neighborhoods that have since been connected to the fiber system. He discussed the lack of grant funding for several proposed digital equity projects that are funded in part by UC2B's Community Benefit Fund (CBF). He described the fund as well as other aspects of income for annual expenses per the partnership agreement with i3. He also mentioned the need for a general manager as they are primarily a volunteer organization.

Questions and discussion followed. Paul Hixson described the \$50,000/year CBF contributions from i3. Mr. Smeltzer described the 20 year IRU lease agreement with i3 and how that will not change though contributions to the CBF and will end December 2022. Roughly 3,500 units per year are being passed by i3 as they lay fiber across the communities. Mr. Hixson mentioned a desire for future discussion with the three UC2B entities to develop resources to help underserved members of the community for digital literacy and education.

Mr. Smeltzer noted that the freeze/thaw cycle causes fiber to get cloudy, but some fiber in use is 30 years old and improvements are being made. The UC2B and i3 fiber is in conduit, it can be replaced more easily. CM Wu commented that she worked on the original grant in 2009 and finally got fiber to her home last year.

E. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

There was none.

F. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

There were none.

G. REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES

There were none.

H. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

There were none.

I. NEW BUSINESS

1. **Ordinance No. 2019-08-039:** An Ordinance Approving Major Variances (802 North Goodwin Avenue / ZBA-2019-MAJ-03 and ZBA-2019-MAJ-04)

Mayor Marlin introduced Ordinance 2019-08-039. Planner Lily Wilcock presented the staff memo noting that Gustavo Bautista purchased 802 North Goodwin and was unanimously granted two major variances by the Zoning Board of Appeals to use the existing parking lot for three parking spaces. This allows parking in the front yard in B1 zoning and reduces the number of required spaces. She noted robust public input for and against the variances. She described the neighboring properties and showed the proposed site plan in conformance with B1 requirements. She listed staff findings. CM Jakobsson moved approval of Ordinance 2019-08-039, seconded by CM Miller. Questions and discussion followed.

CM Wu asked for clarification of the public input summary in the memo. Ms. Wilcock further described the issue as related to using the parking lot for their own purposes while the property was vacant. CM Hursey noted that this was a gas station in the past and asked for additional details for the proposed business. Ms. Wilcock responded that it will be a contractor shop. Gus Bautista introduced himself as an electrician who lives in the neighborhood. He wants to store his electrical business related materials and have office space. For CM Brown, Ms. Wilcock clarified the map of where people live who support the variances.

With no further discussion, the motion was approved by roll call vote (6-0): Brown – Aye; Hursey – Aye; Jakobsson – Aye; Miller – Aye; Wu – Aye.

4. Ordinance No. 2019-08-042: An Ordinance Approving the Extension of Final Plat Approval (Union Gardens Subdivision – Plan Case No. 2364-S-18)

Mayor Marlin introduced Ordinance 2019-08-042, an item moved up on the agenda. Ms. Wilcock presented Union Gardens request for extension of an additional year for the final plat recording. CM Jakobsson moved approval of the ordinance, seconded by CM Miller. Questions followed. In response to CM Wu, Planning Manager Pearson added that Section 1 of the ordinance is for an additional one year period from expiration. For the developer, Ms. Kimberly Hansen said that the year request matches with Champaign's Planning Department extension as the development is across both cities, and added that building has not yet begun.

Roll call vote failed (3-2): Brown – No; Hursey – No; Jakobsson – Aye; Miller – Aye; Wu – Aye.

CM Hursey asked for more information on the request from the developers. Ms. Hansen responded that procedures have taken a very long time spanning both cities, but with some luck the project can start September 1. Ms. Pearson explained the development rezoning process as well. Ms. Hansen added that they are currently on their second round of plan reviews. In response to CM Hursey, Ms. Pearson said that the ordinance could be amended to provide for a shorter time extension to file the final plat. CM Hursey moved to reconsider the motion, seconded by CM Jakobsson. The motion to reconsider passed by unanimous voice vote.

CM Hursey moved to amend Ordinance 2019-08-042 Section 1 to a 180 day extension instead of one year. The motion was seconded by CM Jakobsson. CM Hursey spoke to her amendment and Mayor Marlin noted that this would extend the time to file the final plat, not require the start of construction. CM Brown clarified his position in opposition as he considered the plat deficient when first presented and has not yet been fixed. With no further discussion, the motion to amend passed by unanimous voice vote. With no further discussion, the motion to approve Ordinance 2019-08-042 as amended passed by roll call vote (4-1): Brown – No; Hursey – Aye; Jakobsson – Aye; Miller – Aye; Wu – Aye.

2. Ordinance No. 2019-08-040: An Ordinance Amending the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (Omnibus Text Amendment II – Plan Case No. 2377-T-19)

Mayor Marlin introduced Ordinance 2019-08-040. Planning Manager Pearson explained that the two proposed text amendments resulted from scheduling issues with Plan Commission. Planner Wilcock spoke to the memo summarizing the proposed changes. She described the nine articles being amended noting two substantive issues and seven clarifications. The substantive issues being a second principal structure in R-3 and other zoning districts and the use of noncommercial institutional electronic signs in B4. Questions followed.

CM Brown asked about second principal structures in R3 versus no limits in other multifamily districts. Ms. Wilcock concurred and noted that this could be a change for business and industrial zonings if development regulations would allow. CM Brown noted that single family units in multifamily zoning, which Section 5-2 now prohibits, would be allowed as principal uses. CM Hursey asked if this was in response to issues of garage apartments and mother-in-law suites. Ms. Wilcock explained city position related to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) cases and Ms. Pearson added explanation of Section 5-3 giving the Highland Green development as an example where single family and duplex units were built on a single lot. Ms. Wilcock noted that this is a historical form of construction. CM Brown discussed the use of R3 for multiple units and expressed that his main concern is allowing single family in R4-R6 zoning districts and that this is a major change. He also raised the issue of building tiny homes. He considers this proposed change more than textual and should possibly be a separate planning case. He suggested taking out the Section 5 changes. Discussion followed about intended purposes with Ms. Pearson noting that clarifying this section to allow some historical type construction was the goal. She and Ms. Wilcock

noted that sub development and other aspects of the zoning ordinance would regulate exactly how a future developments such as tiny homes could occur.

CM Hursey asked if allowing second principal structures would more readily allow for a subdivision of tiny homes. Ms. Pearson responded that this change for R3 allows for two units on one piece of property and for tiny homes would more likely want R4 or R5 zoning. She said a duplex can be built on R3, but from a zoning impact there is nothing negative if there are two units unattached. Ms. Wilcock noted that the density doesn't change and this is the sort of situation being generally requested and approved by the ZBA.

CM Wu noted that the MUTCD does not regulate certain types of signs and doesn't regulate private directional signs. Ms. Wilcock reviewed some examples of private signage. Ms. Wilcock also noted that there are four different definitions of property owner, and with the help of the legal department, these text amendments are now uniform, and gave examples of use. CM Wu raised the point of owning land versus owning building/structure. Ms. Wilcock indicated that the definitions were referring to Section 1-3 of city code. Discussion ensued about parcel, building, soil, structure, and land ownership. Ms. Pearson noted that the zoning ordinance defines ownership. Real property is defined by city code. Attorney Simon provided an example of separate ownership of structure and land. He further described different uses of "owner". Ms. Wilcock indicated that they are trying to provide context and uniform language in Article 12 of the Zoning Ordinance with specific reference to city code definitions. Mr. Simon discussed the city definition with respect to context of use.

CM Wu moved to send Ordinance 2019-08-040 to committee, seconded by CM Hursey. Ms. Pearson asked for any additional concerns from Council Members. CM Wu explained that she is concerned much as CM Brown about R3 issues in Article 5 and raising much bigger issues, preferring text that just addresses R3. CM Brown agreed and added that the commission did not consider tiny homes. CM Miller added that he is looking for purposefulness of language. With no further discussion, the motion to send to committee was approved by unanimous voice vote.

3. Ordinance No. 2019-08-041: An Ordinance Amending the Urbana Zoning Ordinance (Omnibus Text Amendment III – Plan Case No. 2384-T-19)

Mayor Marlin introduced Ordinance 2019-08-04. Planner Wilcock spoke to the staff memo noting that these omnibus changes came with unanimous recommendation from Plan Commission without public input. She listed the staff findings discussed in the memo. Planning Manager Pearson noted email comments from CM Roberts concerning Article 11, the powers and duties of the plan commission, where he is suggesting adding details, while the text amendment would remove these and just refer to city code. She then read his suggestions offering some comments for clarification. Questions followed.

CM Wu asked if the intent of striking parts of and simplifying Article 11 is to remove redundancy and be consistent with City Code. Ms. Pearson agreed but added that it is for flexibility as well, as there are examples of required duties, such as reviewing a county zoning issue that could be more efficiently handled by going directly to city council. CM Wu stated that we need to review the powers and duties in the city code and make sure that it reflects what the Plan Commission operations should be. Ms. Pearson added that the city code is different from the Zoning Ordinance.

CM Miller pointed out scheduling issues for discussion of the previous item that was sent to committee, and consensus of council members was to take up discussion when most council members are present. CM Miller then moved to approve Ordinance 2019-08-041, seconded by CM Wu. Discussion of the approval of this ordinance and clarifying the section followed as CM Jakobsson noted that the City Code doesn't give the Plan Commission any authority. He summarized the code noting that practically everything is as specified by the City in the Zoning Ordinance. Ms. Pearson suggested that the City Code needs to be better understood and it would

be better to send this matter to committee. CM Miller withdrew his motion and subsequently moved to send Ordinance 2019-08-041 to the next appropriate Committee of the Whole, seconded by CM Wu. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

5. **Resolution No. 2019-08-031R:** A Resolution Approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois Concerning Certified Housing Inspections (Term of 2019 to 2022)

Mayor Marlin introduced Resolution 2018-08-031R. CM Brown moved suspension of the Resolution on Resolutions rule, seconded by CM Miller and passed by unanimous voice vote. Community Development Director John Schneider noted that negotiations with the University had just concluded and this is on the agenda tonight for the purpose of saving time so inspections can begin on September 4. He introduced Nick Hanson, Housing Inspector II and Code Compliance Manager. Mr. Hanson described the three year agreement with the University, renewal provisions, and that Item 10 in the agreement has been struck out. Questions and discussion followed.

CM Wu noted doing all this on certified housing but asked about time involved. Mr. Hanson estimated at least 50 to 100 hours. CM Miller moved approval of Resolution 2019-08-031R, seconded by CM Jakobsson. In response to CM Hursey, Mr. Hanson explained that this is for private certified housing, mostly fraternities and sororities, and that compliance is pretty tightly controlled by the university. The university requires inspection and compliance with city codes. Mr. Schneider added that the university has a certified housing section office and the City works closely with them. The certified housing office allows two re-inspections to correct deficiencies.

With no discussion, the motion passed by roll call vote (5-0): Brown – Aye; Hursey – Aye; Jakobsson – Aye; Miller – Aye; Wu – Aye.

6. **Mayoral Appointments to Boards and Commissions**

a. **Urbana-Champaign Big Broadband (UC2B)**

1. Paul Hixson – term to expire August 1, 2022

b. **Champaign County Economic Development Corporation Board**

1. Brandon Boys, Economic Development Manager

c. **Urbana Business Association Board**

1. Rachel Storm, Arts & Culture Coordinator

d. **Visit Champaign County**

1. Bridget Broihahn, Communications Specialist

Mayor Marlin read her list of appointments. CM Miller moved approval, seconded by CM Hursey and passed by unanimous voice vote.

7. **Mayoral Reappointments to Boards and Commissions**

a. **Firemen’s Pension Fund Board of Trustees** – term ending June 30, 2021

1. Richard Schnuer

b. **Police Pension Fund Board** – term ending May 31, 2021

1. Elizabeth Hannan

Mayor Marlin read her list of reappointments noting correct expiration dates. CM Brown moved approval, seconded by CM Jakobsson and passed by unanimous voice vote.

8. **Resolution No. 2019-08-030R:** Resolution Regarding the Release of Closed Sessions Minutes (For the Period Ending June 30, 2019) – City Clerk

Mayor Marlin introduced Resolution 2019-08-030R. CM Miller moved suspension of the rules allowing consideration of a resolution as new business, seconded by CM Wu and passed by unanimous voice vote. CM Wu moved approval of Resolution 2019-08-030R, seconded by CM

Brown. With no discussion, the motion passed by roll call vote (5-0): Brown – Aye; Hursey – Aye; Jakobsson – Aye; Miller – Aye; Wu – Aye.

J. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the council, Mayor Marlin adjourned the meeting at 9:58 p.m.

Charles A. Smyth

City Clerk

This meeting was video recorded with website link (viewable on demand):

<https://www.urbanaininois.us/node/7619>

This meeting was broadcast on cable television (UPTV) and streamed live:

<http://urbanaininois.us/upty>

Minutes Approved: August 19, 2019

Wilcock, Lily

From: Deborah Katz-Downie <dkatzdownie@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 3:44 PM
To: bjackerson@hotmail.com; dustinallred@hotmail.com; jane@janebillman.com; Esarey@gmail.com; andrewfell@comcast.net; tfitch71v@gmail.com; ldhopkins@sbcglobal.net; Chenxi.yu28@gmail.com
Cc: Andel, Teri; Garcia, Kevin; Pearson, Lorrie; Ricci, Marcus; Wilcock, Lily; dkatzdownie >> Deborah Katz-Downie
Subject: PLAN COMMISSION - E-Packet for the 07-18-2019 Regular Meeting
Attachments: 01 - Agenda - 07-18-2019.pdf; 02 - DRAFT Meeting Minutes - 04-04-2019.pdf; 02 - DRAFT Meeting Minutes - 06-06-2019.pdf

Dear City of Urbana Plan Commission,

My name is Deborah Katz-Downie and I am a resident at 209 W Delaware Avenue. I have reviewed the attached Case Number 2377-T19 May 15, 2019 and May 31, 2019 Memos. With regard to Article V , Use Regulations, I understand that the Plan Commission has primarily needed to address homeowners who would like to build a dwelling above their garage, that is, a separate structure on their Lot that already conforms to the Front and Side Yard Setback Requirements, and that the Total Living Space would not exceed the F.A.R for the Lot. Therefore, I recommend clarifying the proposed language to include the above:

Allow two Housing Units on one Lot by Right in an R-3 Zoning district if, and only if, the two Housing Units are: in two separate structures, meet the Front Yard and Side Yard Setback Requirements, and the Total Living Space does not exceed the allowed Floor to Area Ratio (F.A.R.) for the Lot.

Thank you for your attention to this clarification,

Deborah Katz-Downie
209 W Delaware Ave
Urbana, IL 61801

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:[wuna-list] Fw: PLAN COMMISSION - E-Packet for the 07-18-2019 Regular Meeting
Date:Sat, 13 Jul 2019 13:27:07 +0000
From:Esther Patt <estherpatt@hotmail.com>
Reply-To:estherpatt@hotmail.com
To:wuna list <wuna-list@googlegroups.com>

This is the mailing from City of Urbana for the Plan Commission meeting next Thursday, July 18. The Commission will be considering a plan case with numerous changes to the text of the Zoning Ordinance. Links are below for the text (strike-through for deletions and underline for new text).

Esther Patt
for the WUNA Steering Committee

From: Andel, Teri <tmandel@urbanaininois.us>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 4:23 PM
To: Andel, Teri
Cc: Pearson, Lorrie
Subject: PLAN COMMISSION - E-Packet for the 07-18-2019 Regular Meeting

Good Afternoon,

Attached, please find the agenda for the upcoming meeting and the DRAFT minutes for the April 4th and June 6th meetings. The staff memos may be found on the City of Urbana website; you may use the following hyperlinks to access the documents:

Plan Case No. 2377-T-19 (Original Memo dated 05-15-2019)

<https://www.urbanaininois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/06%20-%20Staff%20Report%20-%202377-T-19.pdf>

Plan Case No. 2377-T19 (Supplemental Memo dated 05-31-2019)

<https://www.urbanaininois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/07%20-%20Staff%20Report%20Supplemental%20-%202377-T-19.pdf>

Plan Case No. 2384-T-19

<https://www.urbanaininois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/08%20-%20Staff%20Report%20-%202384-T-19.pdf>

The Plan Commission meeting is scheduled to take place at **7:00 p.m. on Thursday, July 18, 2019 in the City Council Chambers**, Urbana City Building, 400 South Vine Street. Should you have any difficulties in accessing the materials or if you have any questions, please contact our office. Thank You!

Have a Great Day!

Teri Andel

Administrative Assistant II

City of Urbana – Planning Division

400 South Vine Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801

P | 217.384.2440

F | 217.384.2367

E | tmandel@urbanaininois.us

Website | www.urbanaininois.us

*“Laugh when you can,
apologize when you should,
and let go of what you can't change.
Life's too short to be anything... but happy.”
By Unknown Author*

--

--

The opinions expressed on this unmoderated list do not necessarily reflect those of the Wuna Steering Committee. Please maintain a civil tone when posting or you risk removal from the list.

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "wuna-list" group.

To post to this group, send email to wuna-list@googlegroups.com

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to wuna-list+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/wuna-list?hl=en>

To learn how to join WUNA visit <http://www.westurbana.org/join.php>

Questions about WUNA's Google Groups can be sent to joinwuna@googlegroups.com

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "wuna-list" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to wuna-list+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

Visit this group at <https://groups.google.com/group/wuna-list>.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/wuna-list/DM6PR11MB3225788EC1EE2736BF28E7A9C3CD0%40DM6PR11MB3225.namprd11.prod.outlook.com>

For more options, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/optout>.