## Memorandum

## Human Resources Division

TO: Todd Rent, Chief Examiner
Civil Service Commission
FROM: Human Resources Staff
RE: Establish a Passing Score for the Firefighter Written Exam
DATE: August 26, 2015

## A. Summary

City of Urbana Human Resources staff recommends a passing score of $75.44 \%$ for the written exam. This would result in passing a group of 55 applicants on to the next step of the process with no adverse or disparate impact.

## A. Background

The position was open for applications from March 16 - June 5, 2015 and Human Resources received 140 applications for the position. Of those candidates, 139 met the minimum qualifications and were invited to test.

|  | $\#$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $96 \%$ |
| Female | $\mathbf{5}$ | $4 \%$ |


|  | $\#$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Minority | 122 | $88 \%$ |
| Minority | 17 | $12 \%$ |

## C. Written Exam

Three tests were offered: Saturday, June 27 at the Alice Campbell Alumni Center; Tuesday, July 28 at the Illinois Law Enforcement Alarm System (ILEAS) and Saturday, August 1 at the Urbana Civic Center. In all, 115 applicants tested for the position.

|  | $\#$ | \% of Test <br> Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 110 | $96 \%$ |
| Female | 5 | $4 \%$ |


|  | $\#$ | \% of Test <br> Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Minority | 100 | $87 \%$ |
| Minority | 15 | $13 \%$ |

## D. Passing Score

Staff recommends a passing score of $75.44 \%$ for the exam, which would allow 55 applicants to be placed on the preliminary eligibility register list, providing they have passed the physical testing component. At this passing score, adverse and/or disparate impact is not observed (additional data is attached in Appendix A).

Mean score: 73.40
Median score: 74.84
Standard Deviation: 8.746

| 75.44\% Pass Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | \% of Total Tested | \% of Like Group <br> Tested | \% of Register |  |
| Male | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $46 \%(53 / 115)$ | $48 \%(53 / 110)$ | $96 \%(53 / 55)$ |  |
| Female | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%(2 / 115)$ | $40 \%(2 / 5)$ | $4 \%(2 / 55)$ |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ of Total Tested | \% of Like Group <br> Tested | \% of Register |  |
| Non-Minority | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $43 \%(50 / 115)$ | $50 \%(50 / 100)$ | $91 \%(50 / 55)$ |  |
| Minority | $\mathbf{5}$ | $4 \%(5 / 115)$ | $33 \%(5 / 15)$ | $9 \%(5 / 55)$ |  |

At this passing score, a total of 60 applicants would not pass the exam, which represents a $52 \%$ failure rate.

|  | $\#$ | \% of Total <br> Tested | \% of Like <br> Group Tested |  | $\#$ | \% of Total <br> Tested | \% of Like <br> Group Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 57 | $50 \%(57 / 115)$ | $52 \%(57 / 110)$ | Non- <br> Minority | 50 | $43 \%$ <br> $(50 / 115)$ | $50 \%(50 / 100)$ |
| Female | 3 | $3 \%(3 / 115)$ | $60 \%(3 / 5)$ | Minority | 10 | $9 \%(10 / 115)$ | $67 \%(10 / 15)$ |

Disparate Impact analysis: a program by hr-software.net to analyze employment decisions for a variety of EE...

## Disparate Impact Analysis

(an On-Line Internet based application)

## Appendix A

Instructions: Please fill out the information into the form below. Once you have entered your data below, you may select the types of analysis to be conducted by checking the appropriate boxes. Then press the compute button at the bottom of the form to view the results.


## Firefighter Written Exam 2015-75.4\%

## Adverse-Impact Report

Adverse Impact and the "four-fifths rule." - A selection rate for any race, sex, or ethnic group which is less than four-fifths (4/5ths) (or eighty percent) of the rate for the group with the highest rate will generally be regarded by the Federal enforcement agencies as evidence of adverse impact. Uniform Guidelines on Emplovee Selection Procedures

| Rate of Females Applicants <br> Selected | Rate of Males Applicants Selected | Adverse Impact Ratio for Females | Adverse Impact Ratio for Males |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2 / 5)=0.4$ | $(53 / 110)=0.4818$ | $(0.4 / 0.4818)=0.83$ |  |
| Adverse impact as defined by the $4 / 5$ ths rule was not found in the above data. | $(0.4818 / 0.4)=1.2$ |  |  |


| Rate of Minorities Applicants <br> Selected | Rate of Non-Minorities Applicants <br> Selected | Adverse Impact Ratio for <br> Minorities | Adverse Impact Ratio for Non- <br> Minorities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(5 / 15)=0.3333$ | $(50 / 100)=0.5$ | $(0.3333 / 0.5)=0.67$ | $(0.5 / 0.3333)=1.5$ |

The Adverse Impact Ratio for Minorities is less than 0.80 .
Minorities Applicants are Selected at a rate less than $80 \%$ (4/5ths) of the rate that Non-Minorities Applicants are Selected.

## Chi-Square Report

| Observed Expected | Selected | Not Selected |  | Row Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 52.6087 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $57$ | 110 |  |
| Females | $2.3913$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2.6087 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |
| Column Total | 55 | 60 | 115 |  |
| Chi-Square $=$ The value of $t$ of bias. There | 3.841. T <br> e that the | 5 percent ch random va | ave result | t any form |


| Observed <br> Expected | Selected | Not Selected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Minorities | 50 | 50 | Row Totals |
| Minorities | 57.8261 | 52.1739 | 100 |
| Column Total | 7.1739 | 10 | 15 |
|  | 55 | 6.8261 | 115 |

Disparate Impact analysis: a program by hr-software.net to analyze employment decisions for a variety of EE...
|Chi-Square = $\mathbf{1 . 4 5 2}$
The value of the statistic is less than 3.841 . This indicates that there is a 95 percent chance that these results have been obtained absent any form of bias. Therefore, you may conclude that these results fall within normal random variations and are not the result of bias.

## Standard-Deviation Report

The difference between the proportion of the protected class Selected and the proportion of all Applicants Selected has a normal distribution with a mean and standard deviation. The statistic is shown below:
$(r / n)-p$

```
sqrt(p * (1-p) / n) * sqrt(1-q)
```


## Analysis of proportion of Females Selected where:

- $\mathbf{r}=$ number of Females Selected.
- $\mathbf{n}=$ number of Selected (Females and Males).
- $\mathbf{p}=$ proportion of Applicants that are Females.
- $q=$ proportion of Applicants Selected.

|  | Selected | Not Selected | Row Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Males | 53 | 57 | 110 |
| Females | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Column Total | 55 | 60 | 115 |

```
\(\mathrm{r}=2\)
\(\mathrm{n}=55\)
\(\mathrm{p}=5 / 115=0.043\)
\(\mathrm{q}=(2+53) /(5+110)=0.478\)
```

Standard Deviation Statistic $=\mathbf{- 0 . 3 5 8}$
These results show that the proportion of Females Selected is $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 5 8}$ standard deviations below the proportion of Applicants Selected. A result of less than 2 standard deviations is generally considered non-significant.

Analysis of proportion of Minorities Selected where:

- $\mathbf{r}=$ number of Minorities Selected.
- $\mathbf{n}=$ number of Selected (Minorities and Non-Minorities).
- $\mathbf{p}=$ proportion of Applicants that are Minorities.
- $q$ = proportion of Applicants Selected.
$r=5$
$\mathrm{n}=55$
$\mathrm{p}=15 / 115=0.13$
$\mathrm{q}=(5+50) /(15+100)=0.478$
Standard Deviation Statistic $=\mathbf{- 1 . 2 0 5}$
These results show that the proportion of Minorities Selected is $\mathbf{- 1 . 2 0 5}$ standard deviations below the proportion of Applicants Selected. A result of less than 2 standard deviations is generally considered non-significant.


## Confidence Interval Report

The proportion of the protected class Selected has an expected value that would fall within a specified confidence interval. The statistic is shown below:
Observed value $=(\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{n})$
Expected value $=\mathbf{p}$
Standard Deviation $=\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathbf{p} *(1-p) / \mathbf{n}) * \operatorname{sqrt}(1-q)$
Confidence Interval:
Lower Bound =p-1.96* Std Dev
Upper Bound = p + 1.96 * Std Dev

Analysis of proportion of Females Applicants Selected where:

- $\mathbf{r}=$ number of Females Selected.
- $\mathbf{n}$ = number of Applicants Selected.
- $\mathbf{p}=$ proportion of Females among those Selected.
- $q=$ proportion of Applicants Selected.
$r=2$
$\mathrm{n}=55$

Disparate Impact analysis: a program by hr-software.net to analyze employment decisions for a variety of EE...

```
p=(5/(5+110))=0.043
q=((2+53)/(5+110))=0.478
(r/n)=2/55=0.0364
```

The lower bound of the confidence interval is: $0.043-(1.96 * 0.02)=0.0045$
The upper bound of the confidence interval is: $0.043+\left(1.96^{*} 0.02\right)=0.0824$
Confidence Interval $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 5}$ to $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 2 4}$
These results show that the proportion of Females Females $(\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 6 4})$ is contained in the confidence interval. Therefore a finding of disparate impact is not supported by this data.

Analysis of proportion of Minorities Applicants Selected where:

- $\mathbf{r}=$ number of Minorities Selected.
- $\mathbf{n}$ = number of Applicants Selected.
- $\mathbf{p}=$ proportion of Minorities among those Selected.
- $q=$ proportion of Applicants Selected.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=5 \\
& n=55 \\
& p=(15 /(15+100))=0.13 \\
& q=((5+50) /(15+100))=0.478 \\
& (r / n)=5 / 55=0.0909
\end{aligned}
$$

The lower bound of the confidence interval is: $0.13-(1.96 * \mathbf{0 . 0 3 3})=\mathbf{0 . 0 6 6 1}$
The upper bound of the confidence interval is: $0.13+(1.96 * 0.033)=0.1947$
Confidence Interval $=\mathbf{0 . 0 6 6 1}$ to $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 4 7}$
These results show that the proportion of Minorities Minorities $(\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0 . 0 9 0 9})$ is contained in the confidence interval. Therefore a finding of disparate impact is not supported by this data.

## Probability Distribution Report

| Number Females Selected |  | Rate of Females <br> Applicants | Rate of Males <br> Applicants | Adverse Impact Ratio of Females | Adverse Impact against Females? | Probability | Cumulative Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Males Selected | Applicants Selected | Applicants Selected |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 55 | (0/5) | (55/110) | 0 | YES | 0.035585 | 0.035585 |
| 1 | 54 | (1/5) | (54/110) | 0.4074 | YES | 0.17475 | 0.210335 |
| Selected-> 2 | 53 | (2/5) | (53/110) | 0.8302 | NO | 0.331105 | 0.54144 |
| 3 | 52 | (3/5) | (52/110) | 1.2692 | NO | 0.302561 | 0.844001 |
| 4 | 51 | (4/5) | (51/110) | 1.7255 | NO | 0.133332 | 0.977334 |
| 5 | 50 | (5/5) | (50/110) | 2.2 | NO | 0.022666 | 1 |
| Given that 55 were Adverse Impact wo <br> The probability of $A$ having Selected 1 or <br> Since the probability Adverse Impact ma | cted from a pool found if you S <br> se Impact occur er Females). <br> Adverse Impact not significant s | 110 Males and 5 cted 1 or fewer F $g$ even if the emp <br> urring even if the the probability | les it was poss <br> s. <br> ent decisions w <br> loyment decisi ater than 1 in 1 | e to have Selected <br> random (i.e. unb <br> s were random (i.e hat Adverse Impact | from 0 to 5 Female <br> ased) is 0.2103 (the <br> unbiased) is greate <br> t would have occur | sum of the $p$ <br> $r$ than $10 \%$, red due to ch | ities of <br> ved |
| Probability <br> Number of female Selected | tribution of $5$ <br> licants | the variabl | Number o | Females Sel | ected. |  |  |

## Disparate Impact analysis: a program by hr-software.net to analyze employment decisions for a variety of EE...

The probability distribution of having Selected from 0 to 5 Females is displayed above. As can be seen, the most likely event (highest probability) to have occurred by chance (or decisions not affected by any form of bias) is to have Selected 2 female Applicants. This represents the mean of the probability distribution. Approximately half of the probability distribution is above this point and approximately half is below this point. The total area contained in the probability distribution is equal to 1 . Thus, probabilities for each number of female Applicants Selected are a fraction of the total probability distribution. The larger areas of the distribution represent higher probabilities of occurance. Adding the individual probabilities up to a certain point enable you to compute the probability of having Selected that many or fewer female Applicants. Adding the individual probabilities from a certain point and higher enable you to compute the probability of having Selected that many or more female Applicants.

The characteristics of the probability distribution--its mean and standard deviation--are a function of the number of female and male Applicants and the number of Applicants to be Selected. Though it is possible to have Selected from 0 to 5 female Applicants, the individual probabilities of having Selected each number of female Applicants can be computed and accumulated. As noted before, these individual probabilities are a function of the number of female and male Applicants and the number of Applicants to be Selected.

Using the distribution above, a 90 percent confidence interval on the variable 'Number of Females Selected' would have a lower bound of 1 and an upper bound of 4

The significance of having Selected 2 or fewer Females is graphically displayed below.


Number of female Applicants Selected

As noted earlier, Adverse Impact, according to the 4/5ths rule, would be found if you Selected 1 or fewer female Applicants.
You have Selected 2 female Applicants. The probability of having Selected 2 or fewer Females is equal to the cumulative probability for having Selected 2 Females Applicants. The cumulative probability of having Selected 2 female Applicants is 0.5414 and is graphically displayed, in red, above.

Since the probability is greater than $10 \%$, we are unable to reject the hypothesis that the decisions occurred due to chance. Therefore, we must conclude that it is entirely possible that having Selected 2 or fewer female Applicants is an event that occurred due to chance and not from discriminatory actions by the employer.

| Number Minorities <br> Selected | Number Non- <br> Minorities Selected | Rate of Minorities Applicants Selected | Rate of NonMinorities Applicants Selected | Adverse Impact Ratio of Minorities | Adverse Impact against <br> Minorities ? |  | Cumulative Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 55 | (0/15) | (55/100) | 0 | YES | 0.000022 | 0.000022 |
| 1 | 54 | (1/15) | (54/100) | 0.1235 | YES | 0.000398 | 0.00042 |
| 2 | 53 | (2/15) | (53/100) | 0.2516 | YES | 0.003201 | 0.003621 |
| 3 | 52 | (3/15) | (52/100) | 0.3846 | YES | 0.015317 | 0.018938 |
| 4 | 51 | (4/15) | (51/100) | 0.5229 | YES | 0.048764 | 0.067703 |
| Selected-> 5 | 50 | (5/15) | (50/100) | 0.6667 | YES | 0.109427 | 0.17713 |
| 6 | 49 | (6/15) | (49/100) | 0.8163 | NO | 0.178803 | 0.355932 |
| 7 | 48 | (7/15) | (48/100) | 0.9722 | NO | 0.216626 | 0.572559 |
| 8 | 47 | (8/15) | (47/100) | 1.1348 | NO | 0.19619 | 0.768748 |
| 9 | 46 | (9/15) | (46/100) | 1.3043 | NO | 0.132812 | 0.90156 |
| 10 | 45 | (10/15) | (45/100) | 1.4815 | NO | 0.066647 | 0.968207 |
| 11 | 44 | (11/15) | (44/100) | 1.6667 | NO | 0.024344 | 0.992551 |
| 12 | 43 | (12/15) | (43/100) | 1.8605 | NO | 0.006264 | 0.998814 |
| 13 | 42 | (13/15) | (42/100) | 2.0635 | NO | 0.001072 | 0.999886 |
| 14 | 41 | (14/15) | (41/100) | 2.2764 | NO | 0.000109 | 0.999995 |
| 15 | 40 | (15/15) | (40/100) | 2.5 | NO | 0.000005 | 1 |

Given that 55 were Selected from a pool of 100 Non-Minorities and 15 Minorities it was possible to have Selected from 0 to 15 Minorities.
Adverse Impact would be found if you Selected 5 or fewer Minorities.
The probability of Adverse Impact occurring even if the employment decisions were random (i.e. unbiased) is 0.1771 (the sum of the probabilities of having Selected 5 or fewer Minorities).

Since the probability of Adverse Impact occurring even if the employment decisions were random (i.e. unbiased) is greater than $10 \%$, an observed Adverse Impact may be not significant since the probability is greater than 1 in 10 that Adverse Impact would have occurred due to chance.


The probability distribution of having Selected from 0 to 15 Minorities is displayed above. As can be seen, the most likely event (highest probability) to have occurred by chance (or decisions not affected by any form of bias) is to have Selected 7 minority Applicants. This represents the mean of the probability distribution. Approximately half of the probability distribution is above this point and approximately half is below this point. The total area contained in the probability distribution is equal to 1 . Thus, probabilities for each number of minority Applicants Selected are a fraction of the total probability distribution. The larger areas of the distribution represent higher probabilities of occurance. Adding the individual probabilities up to a certain point enable you to compute the probability of having Selected that many or fewer minority Applicants. Adding the individual probabilities from a certain point and higher enable you to compute the probability of having Selected that many or more minority Applicants.

The characteristics of the probability distribution--its mean and standard deviation--are a function of the number of minority and non-minority Applicants and the number of Applicants to be Selected. Though it is possible to have Selected from 0 to 15 minority Applicants, the individual probabilities of having Selected each number of minority Applicants can be computed and accumulated. As noted before, these individual probabilities are a function of the number of minority and non-minority Applicants and the number of Applicants to be Selected.

Using the distribution above, a 90 percent confidence interval on the variable 'Number of Minorities Selected' would have a lower bound of 4 and an upper bound of 10 .

The significance of having Selected 5 or fewer Minorities is graphically displayed below.


Number of minority Applicants Selected
As noted earlier, Adverse Impact, according to the 4/5ths rule, would be found if you Selected 5 or fewer minority Applicants.
You have Selected 5 minority Applicants. The probability of having Selected 5 or fewer Minorities is equal to the cumulative probability for having Selected 5 Minorities Applicants. The cumulative probability of having Selected 5 minority Applicants is 0.1771 and is graphically displayed, in red, above.

Since the probability is greater than $10 \%$, we are unable to reject the hypothesis that the decisions occurred due to chance. Therefore, we must conclude that it is entirely possible that having Selected 5 or fewer minority Applicants is an event that occurred due to chance and not from discriminatory actions by the employer.

