City of Urbana, Illinois

Minutes of the Urbana Tree Commission Meeting Public Works Engineering Conference Room 706 S. Glover Ave. Urbana, IL 61802

Wednesday, September 3, 2014 1:30 p.m.

Members Present: Barbara Anderson, Stewart Berlocher, Thom Fehrmann, Derek Liebert,

Michael Stevenson

Members Absent: Carol Augspurger, Jeff Dawson, Darin Eastburn

Staff Present: Michael Brunk, City of Urbana Arborist

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:45 p.m.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

The minutes from March 5, 2014 were presented for approval. Stevenson moved to approve the minutes, Anderson seconded, and the minutes were approved.

Public Input

There was no public input.

Former Business

a. 312 S. Coler Hackberry Update

Brunk announced that plans for any of the memorial ideas presented at the March meeting were on hold, as the city council did not approve the allocation of funds for the project due to the need to fund the Windsor Road reconstruction. Brunk said he had applied for a \$5,000 grant to help fund the memorial, and that if the grant was awarded there would likely be a special meeting called to revisit the issue.

New Business

a. 301 Griggs Bur Oak Legacy Tree Nomination

The Commission reviewed a legacy tree nomination for a private Bur Oak at 301 Griggs (see attached). Discussion ensued regarding the qualifications that the tree met, specifically the age of the tree and its probable connection to the Big Grove. After discussion, Stevenson moved to recommend approval of the tree to City council based on its historical value. Berlocher seconded, and the motion was approved.

b. Summer Storm Damage

Brunk presented photographs of several downed trees and limbs which fell during summer storms. Stevenson commented that reducing the City's pruning cycle could help prevent some of this damage, since it would proactively remove damaged limbs before they fell.

c. Climate Action Plan

Commission members reviewed Goal 4, Action 2 and Action 4 of the Urbana Climate Action Plan draft at the request of Scott Tess, the City's Environmental Manager. Goal 2 addresses the City's pruning cycle, and recommends reducing it to more closely align with the industry standard of five years. Goal 4 addresses tree diversity, and recommends reducing tree species, genus, and family preponderance to 5%, 10%, and 30% respectively. Discussion ensued regarding the feasibility of these goals.

Brunk confirmed that the City the tree population in the City is already relatively diverse, with no single species occurring at more than 6% and no single genus occurring at more than 20%. After discussion, Fehrmann moved to make an official recommendation of 5%, 15%, and 30%. Berlocher seconded, and the motion was approved.

Commission members also discussed reduction of the City's pruning cycle. Brunk noted that reducing the cycle to seven years would require the City to employ four full time arbor technicians as opposed to the current two. Fehrmann moved to support Goal 4, Action 2 as written. Stevenson seconded, and the motion was approved.

d. Tree Commission Bylaws

Fletcher-Washington said she would draft new bylaws for the Commission to review at the next meeting.

Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:29 p.m.



LEGACY TREE ASSESSMENT FORM

and the second							_										
Evaluator: M. Bronk						ate:		uga		8 2	014						
Nominator: Dorothy Neomann	Phone:	3	14 142	7	Tr	ee Spe	cies	196c	sr On	F; Q	ver	us	macr	000	rea		
DBH: 48.5"					0	Owner: Docothy Neumann								,			
Height: 84.5' 84 from N/E 8	35 from	N/1	~		$\overline{}$	ddress:	7	301	W	Grie	MS.						
Crown Spread: 72.5 Ft 69 Etow	76	N. A	10 S		Owner Phone: 344 1427 33												
Distance From Property Line: 5Ft outside city	right	10	WEY		N	eighbor	hoo	d: (ntra	Bus	5'ne	55	Dist	ric	1		
Yard Location: Front	~												2. 3				
LOCALE ASSESSMENT																	
Is the tree is visible from the ROW?																	
1-41	_				D	stance	from	over	head Utili	ty:				_			
is there a conflict with an overhead utility?						5	12 8	+ .	trunk	face	to	nea	rest	lin	2		
Is the tree on private or City owned property?					Is	the tre	e list	ed as	a 'Notabl	e' tree in	the in	vento	ry?	25			
HEALTH AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT (score	is fair or	bette	er)					25.7							6572		
Rating - poor, fair, good, excellent			ellent)		C	omm	ents	3									
Crown and structural condition:	5	Vor	y God			very	1	411	E heal	the							
Scaffold branches:	5		y Good			Str	690	1 19	teral	a Has	h me	275	5				
Annual shoot growth:	.3	fai	+		2			GV		4"-5	" v	11 -	1 = 8				
Foliage:	6	exu	ellent											_			
Trunk condition:	4	30	ad			sligh	1	(cert	ical v	vound	5	Ala	heale	L			
Competition:	4	Te	EN			U						/					
Root flare/distribution:	4	-	od		\top												
Pruning history:	1	- //	ellest		1.			. 1	1	- 1.	0.	1	0 = 1				
Overall condition	9	4				owner schedules regular deal mod : c						X ; CI	Lovar	occ to			
	121/	18	= 4.4	25		rood											
LEGACY STANDARDS ASSESSMENT						VIII.								May.			
	Indica	te ye	s or no		C	omm	ents	5									
Size >=top 1% of inventoried trees of its species 729"		VRS					48.5 dbh										
Rarity >= top 1% of all inventoried trees in Urbana 1.13?	6	/					Bur Oak = 1.13% 12 176										
Documented association with historic or notable event																	
Age >= 100 years or 75 years if next to HL home		V	25		1	251:0	nat	ecl	to be	oxe	_	100 .	yrs o	IL			
Has special ecological value		1	Ves		Τ,	P	2,5	6	rox	Res	n ha	+	7,,,,	10-			
Well known focal point or landmark		Jes															
Unusual / appealing visual characteristic and/or form		1															
Illinois / Natl. Big Tree Register																	
Other																	
RISK ASSESSMENT**		UF VO		Lik	elih	ood											
		Failure					Impact Failure and Impact Conseque								Risk		
Condition # Tree Part Conditions of concern to the large transfer			Probable Immin	ent Very low			High	Unlikely	Somewhat			ble Minor	significant	severe			
Lewit branch Pailor 10" 15' 1 None		X		λ				X						Y	Lox		
treet " " 10" 15' 2 None		x		x				×			+		X	^	200		
hose " 16" 15' 3 hose	1	^		1			x	2			+		X		200		
occupants " " 16" 15' 4	3			_	X		^	X			+		-	V	Lox		
xcoran 5	1				^			^		3				^	LO3		
ABATEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:																	
None																	
				No. 10 No A. 10	And lives	6	-						10000000				
RECOMMENDED FOR LEGACY TREE STATUS: Circle appropriate category:						Yes	OI	r No)								
Legacy Size Tree	(egacy	Age T	ree		II.	enacy A	Aestl	netics	Tree								
		Legacy Age Tree Legacy Ecology Tree					Legacy Aesthetics Tree Big Tree Register										
Legacy Rare Tree					_P	ig Tree	Reg	istei									
Legacy Historic Big Grave True	Legacy	Loca	иоп			(A) A MARKET MARK						-					
COMMENTS:								A SHE		E CONTRACT			THE REAL PROPERTY.	1	10000		
Tree halls within Big Gro remnant	a B	an	dorie	an	d	15	m	DS-	+ 15	kely	a	3	5 6	>10	Ve		
* Health and Condition Assessment: Has to be in fair or better	condition to	be co	onsidered.			l= critic	al; 2	= poo	r; 3= fair;	4= good	d; 5= v	ery go	ood; 6= E	Excel	llent		
** Risk Assessment: Has to be a moderate risk rating or less							, _	,		0-3		, 0					
Trees with a high risk can be resubmitted and revaluated at a			azard has he	een corre	cted					- 1		~ 1	,				
Risk assessment will be completed by in-house Certified Arbo)	1		1)2	2/L	_	0/1	8 20	121			
			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		247 W	The second second	The Real Property lies	_	THE RESERVE AND PERSONS ASSESSED.	_		THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	The second second	The Real Property lies			

ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form

lient 301 Grisss Legacy	Tree	Date	tug 18 2	514	Tin	ne <u>llo</u>	.//	
dress/Tree location 301 Gr, 38 3	니스		_ Tree no			_ Sheet	of	_
sessor(s) M. Brunk		Height 2hrs						
(S)	Target Assessm		loois useu_	<u></u>	nor	unc		
	idiget Assessin	CIIC	Та	rget zon	e		Т	Γ
Target de	escription	. 4 g	Target within drip line	Target within 1 x Ht.	Target within 1.5 x Ht.	Occupancy rate 1-rare 2-occasional 3-frequent 4-constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction
1 People on side walk			X		-	2		2
2 People in Street			X	1, 15	200	2	NO	Т
3 Hoose			X			4	NO	
4 People in House			X		m * 0	3	NO	_
tory of failures Lightning Strike 15	Site Factors	110.416	Say ha		1000			
cor Low Normal High Foliage None (sts	Tree Health and Speci seasonal) □ None (d	ead) Norma	1 <u>1</u> 2%	Chlorot	ic	% Ne	crotic_	
own density Sparse Normal Dense Interior cent or planned change in load factors	water to the state of the state	Dense 🗆 🕦	Vines/Mistlet			II□ Mediu		arg
own density Sparse Normal Dense Interior cent or planned change in load factors Tree Defects a		Dense D	Vines/Mistlet					arg
own density Sparse ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Interior cent or planned change in load factors ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Bran	Dense D	vines/Mistlet	oe/Mo	ss 🗆		3, 10 H, 12	
Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches LCR 83 % Dead twigs/branches Mormal Dense Interior Dense In	nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Bran Cracks Codominant	the Likelihood	vines/Mistlet	oe/Mo	ss D	ightning da	amage l	
Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches Max. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia.	nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant	the Likelihood	vines/Mistlet	oe/Mo	ss D	ightning da	amage l	
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced crown Max. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Unbalanced crown Max. dia.	nd Conditions Affecting Cracks Codominant Weak attach	the Likelihood	of Failure	oe/Mo	ss 🗆 _	ightning da Include Nest hole	nmage l d bark l % ci	/ n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced crown Max. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Pruning history	r branches Few□ Norm nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks □ Codominant Weak attache Previous bra	the Likelihood iches —	of Failure	C	ss 🗆 L Cavity/I	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p	amage l d bark l % ci	(
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced crown Max. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Pruning history Crown cleaned Max. dia. Reduced Topped Reduced Lion-tai	nd Conditions Affecting Cracks Codominant Weak attache Previous bra Dead/Missingiled Conks Codominant	the Likelihood ches — ments □ ch failures □ g bark □ Canke	of Failure	C S	Lavity/libimilar	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage	amage I d bark I % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced Unb	r branches Few Norm nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attach Previous bra Dead/Missin illed — Conks — Response gro	the Likelihood aches — ments □ nch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay	C S	Lavity/l	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage	amage I d bark % ci resent /decay	c.
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced Unb	r branches Few Norm nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attache Previous bra Dead/Missin illed — Conks — Response grown Moderate — Significa	the Likelihood iches — ments □ g bark □ Canke Hear with — Slight So	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay	C S S	Lavity/I	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage	amage I d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches Wax. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Pruning history Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Reduced Topped Lion-tai Flush cuts Other Flush cuts Other Main concern(s) Main concern(r branches Few Norm nd Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attache Previous bra Dead/Missin illed — Conks — Response grown Moderate — Significa	the Likelihood aches — ments □ nch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay	C S S	L L Cavity/li	ightning da Include Nest hole _ branches p od damage	amage I d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown LCR When the planned change in load factors Tree Defects and Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Pruning history Crown cleaned Reduced Topped Raised Reduced Topped Topped Lion-tai Flush cuts Other Main concern(s) Main concern(s) Load on defect N/A Minor Likelihood of failure Mprobable Main consible Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Min	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Bran Cracks — Codominant Weak attached Previous branched Conks — Response grown and Conks — Resp	the Likelihood aches — ments □ nch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □ nt □	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage	amage d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown Unbalanced	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Branches Cracks — Codominant Weak attached Previous branched Conks — Response grown Moderate — Significated Probable — Imminer ture/color — Cracks — Crac	the Likelihood nches — ments □ nch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with — nt □ nt □ nt □	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay Roots and visible D	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage,	amage I d bark I % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches Max. dia. Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Over-extended branches Fruning history Crown cleaned Reduced Topped Raised Reduced Topped Lion-tai Flush cuts Other Main concern(s) Max. dia.	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attached Previous brated Conks — Response grown and Conks — C	the Likelihood iches — ments □ ment failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with — collar buried/Not	of Failure ers/Galls/Burls rtwood decay Roots and visible Decay	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L Savity/li	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage,	amage I d bark I % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown LCR When the planned change in load factors Tree Defects as Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches When the probable When the planned change in load factors Tree Defects as Unbalanced crown LCR When the planned When the pla	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attached Previous brated Conks — Response grown and Conks — Conks	the Likelihood aches — ments □ nch failures □ gbark □ Canke Hear with ont □ ont □ collar buried/Not	rwood decay Roots and visible Decay Cavity	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L Cavity/l Cavity	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage, llar Stem g Mushroom	amage d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Branches Cracks — Codominant Weak attached Previous branched Conks — Response grown Moderate — Significated Probable — Imminer ture/color — Cracks — Sap ooze — ushrooms — Communication of the color — Cracks — Codominant Codominant Meak attached Previous branched Conks — Response grown Moderate — Significated — Cracks — Codominant Codominant Meak attached Probable — Imminer Codominant Codominant Meak attached Probable — Codominant Codominant Meak attached Probable — Codominant Codominant Meak attached Previous December 1	the Likelihood iches — ments □ phot failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with — collar buried/Not lead □ looze □	rtwood decay Roots and visible Decay Cavity	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage, Ilar — Stem g Mushroom	amage d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown Tree Defects as Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches What is a seed the seed of the seed o	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Branches Codominant Weak attached Previous branches Dead/Missing Conks Response grown Moderate Significate Probable Imminer Lure/color Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Sap ooze Imminer Conditions Affecting Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Cracks Imminer Coor taper Imminer Coor taper Imminer	the Likelihood aches — ments □ nch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with to collar buried/Not pead □ cracks □ Cut/D coot plate lifting [rwood decay Roots and visible Decay Cavity	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage, Ilar — Stem g Mushroom	amage d bark % ci resent /decay	
Unbalanced crown	r branches Few Normand Conditions Affecting — Crown and Brar Cracks — Codominant Weak attache Previous bra Dead/Missin illed — Conks — Response gro Moderate — Significa Probable — Imminer ture/color — Cracks — Sap ooze — ushrooms — Coor taper — Ishlam	the Likelihood aches — ments □ mch failures □ g bark □ Canke Hear with collar buried/Not lead □ loze □ loze □ lozec □ l	rtwood decay Roots and visible Decay Cavity	C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ightning da Include Nest hole branches p od damage Ilar — Stem g Mushroom from trunk	amage d bark % ci resent /decay irdling irdling	

Risk Categorization

ĕ					100					Likelihood Failure & In												Cor	200	194		
unu u		am or U.S.		•	ance	number	31	\vdash	Failu				lmp	act			rom N	/atrix 1	1)		iseq		es	Risk		
Condition number	Conditions Tree part of concern			Part size	Fall distance	Target I	Target protection	Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Minor	Significant	Severe	of par (from Matrix			
			sign	is of 1	terna	10"	15'	1	None		X			X				X							X	Lov
1	branch	h	dam	age und of limb	on					Syns		37	(1) (A)			S. Will		1-						NV (S)	20124	
	364	_													Charge.								100		77-10	
2	bre-	1	Jan	ne ao	1	10"	15	2		H	X		H	X		-		X			2	10		4	X	Lov
^	Viane	n	ove	ne as	orb		at the same			7.50	177	NEW ST	777	Sec.	and or of	0.71	-		and the			Total	* = 7149		2700	
	200	_		nch fri		1/4	15-20	3	# 25 # ASS - C	~					21 - 1 - A	1	V	~				3-2		V	1.00	1 1
3	brane			hous		10	15.00)	7 10	1	37	4	17	1		74	X	X		-1-2-2	1		1	-	10	LOX
			,			S. S. P. P.	Addition to you	178 G-21 H	A WATER TO SERVED	0.500	7.70	ACT SATE	(ACTIVE		(A) (II)	A (38) G	10.19	4 10	7-8	(Military)	200,10		T 01 -15	47 0 4 5	17547	HEF41943
	7		bra	nds Gil	vre	14"	15:20	4	A CONTRACTOR OF THE	Y		Dehali	7813		×	20.4	Pinga	Y		1		386		859	X	Los
4	brance	h	oxe	nds fail 1005	١,	14		3193	Village St	r																LUX
		1	Jark	Bare	sple	Att 1	garage.	PAT .				100							S.		1	- 35				11000
				. 15					Jest co.																	
v- 0707	ix I. Likeli	nood	matr		•••			L colores								1			T	1			1	1		
S-143, U.C.I.V.	elihood Failure	Very	low	Like	Section 1	of University in	acting Medium		High				+			+-	-		+	+		-	+			+
	minent	Unli	MANAGED BY	Somewha		-	Likely		Very likely	37 V.		٩.	+			+	-		4	+		-		-		-
Pro	obable	Unli	kely	Unlik	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	Som	ewhat l	ikely	Likely									Bulleton - 10			-					5
elli virgi	robable	Unli	A TOWNS IN COLUMN	Unlik Unlik	SAME OF STREET	OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	Unlikely Unlikely	URSON CHIEFE .	Somewhat like Unlikely	ely														-		
-	ix2. Risk				ely		Ullikely	- Seathers	Utilikely	E4-13			1			T					-		T			
1.11	kelihood		Illau	IV.	Cons	eamer	ces of	Failur		ur i						-			18	1	•	-	+			1
	ure & Im	TARREST HEAT	Ne	egligible	Mir			ficant		503			+			+			+	+		-	+			
	Very like	ly	蒙红	Low	Mode	erate		igh	Extreme	200						-	_		-			-	-			
, A	Likely	SKER		Low	Mode	1001512		igh	High													1.	N	orth		
Sor	newhat I Unlikely	Contract Contract		Low	Lo Lo	STORY STATE	STATE OF THE PARTY	lerate ow	Moderate	2				-			-					1	Parket Market	NE FEBRUAR	-	
	Ollikely		1	LOW	1 10	A Burel	distribution of	JW	LOW								-				1					1
Vot	es, expla	natio	ons, o	description	ons 1	300	00	K	nao	1							-		CA.		1					1
<u>h</u>	een	M	e/1	Mai.		_		nd 1: 1:	is in	_						,	-				the september of					
6	7000t	40	Č	JI II	200	de la	Show	and	TER	Liv	k C	rea	1	Ra	+11)	-				1					/
i	nel	10	bo	ve.	1/2	2/2	Crown	ile		_			P	and the same				-				1				
Ai+i	gation o	ntion		. 1.	Hr	unk	PENE	The state of	1.0		. 1	-1	n)							Dani	duci	nia!		
riici	gation o	ptioi	15	Mone		en	m			_0	4	7	-		7	in				7.4			dual dual			
			mile,	2	100				2.3 2.5				m de la				0,92	27/1	700	- 1/4	2 7		dual			4
				and William	4/15		Comm		Marie Ma			h	hor						131				dual			
	rall tree	risk ı	rating	g Low) M	derate	- D	ligh □	Extreme			Ļ	Wo	rk n	rior	itv	1 [3 [411					
)vei					D M			ligh [9		18.7 -				inte			2	2	· V	
	rall resid	uai :		LUV	_ 1416	Jucian							Vec	UIII	1161	ueu		Der	uo	11111	I We					
Ove	/							1	ed MNo 🗆 Yes		ne/R			OIIII	lieli	ueu	1113	pec	LIOII		٧	i.	_	7	77	



City of Urbana Public Works Department

Legacy Trees Legacy Tree Owner Consent Form
Name of Property Owner <u>Jorothy Neumann</u> Date <u>8/8/14</u> Address <u>301 W. Griggs St, Urbano-Tu</u> Phone <u>344-1427</u>
l have read and understand the recommended practices to ensure the long-term health of a Legacy Tree and that following these practices may cause some detriments to the future use of my property.
I hereby consent to allow the City Arborist and the Urbana Tree Commission to assess and potentially designate the nominated tree(s) on my property.
I do not consent to allow the City Arborist and the Urbana Tree Commission to assess and potentially designate the nominated tree(s) on my property.
I hereby consent to allow the City Arborist and the Urbana Tree Commission to install a Legacy Tree plaque on my property.
☐ I do not consent to allow the City Arborist and the Urbana Tree Commission to install a Legacy Tree plaque on my property.
Name of Property Owner(s) Downthy J. Neumann
Name of Property Owner(st) Dovothy J. Neumann Signature of Property Owner(st) Dovothy J. Neumann
Please attach any interesting facts or stories about your nominated tree(s).
City Arborist use only
Signature of City Arborist Date
Comments





City of Urbana Public Works Department

Legacy Tree Nomination Form

Any Urbana resident may nominate a tree. Nominated trees will be evaluated by the Urbana City Arborist and approved by the Urbana Tree Commission pending property owner consent.

Tree Information *denotes required field. Please print legibly.
Tree Species/Common Name Buercus macro carpa/Burr Oak
*Address where tree is located 301 W. Griggs St.
*Property owner Name Dorothy Neumann
*Property owner Address 301 W. Griggs 5+
Is the tree located on public or private property? Is the tree readily visible from the street or sidewalk? Is the tree within 15 feet of overhead utilities? Is the tree within 15 feet of overhead utilities? In Private In Private In No
Why should this tree be designated as a Legacy Tree? Size
Indicate trunk diameter at breast height (4.5 ft from ground). Use the sketch as a guide. Image source: University of Minnesota Extension Figure 2-1. Measure stem diameter at breast height (DBH)
Nominator Information
Nominator's Name Dorothy Neumann Phone 344-1427 Nominator's Address 301 W. Griggs St
How did you learn about the Legacy Tree Program? <u>a triend</u>
Please explain your choice and share any information or stories about the tree(s) you're nominating. Feel free to submit attachments. My house was built around 1890 according to the Sandorn insurance maps. I feel succe the tree was here before the house levas full
It has withstood a heeze legalouis strike
Please return completed form to the Urbana City Arborist at Urbana Public Works, 706 S. Glover Ave, Urbana IL 61802 www.urbanaillinois.us/legacytree Legacytree Legacytree Likbana Likbana
for urban weld life I hope when I'm gone
thes designation will protect it